PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE UNIFORM JURY INSTRUCTIONS - CRIMINAL PROPOSAL 2023-021

March 24, 2023

The Uniform Jury Instructions - Criminal Committee has recommended amendments Uniform Jury Instruction 14-2217 NMRA for the Supreme Court's consideration.

If you would like to comment on the proposed amendments set forth below before the Court takes final action, you may do so by either submitting a comment electronically through the Supreme Court's web site at http://supremecourt.nmcourts.gov/open-for-comment.aspx or sending your written comments by mail, email, or fax to:

Elizabeth A. Garcia, Chief Clerk of Court New Mexico Supreme Court P.O. Box 848 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-0848 nmsupremecourtclerk@nmcourts.gov 505-827-4837 (fax)

Your comments must be received by the Clerk on or before April 24, 2023, to be considered by the Court. Please note that any submitted comments may be posted on the Supreme Court's web site for public viewing.

web site for p	ublic viewing.	
14-2217. Agg	ravated fleeing a law enforcement officer.	
For yo	ou to find the defendant guilty of aggravated fleeing a le	aw enforcement officer [as
charged in Co	unt] ¹ , the state must prove to your satisfaction	beyond a reasonable doubt
each of the fo	llowing elements of the crime:	
1.	The defendant operated a motor vehicle;	
2.	The defendant drove willfully and carelessly in a mann	er that endangered or could
have endanger	red the life of another person;	
3.	The defendant had been given a visual or audible signal	to stop by a uniformed law
enforcement of	officer in an authorized emergency [appropriately marked	l law enforcement] vehicle;
4.	The defendant knew that a law enforcement officer h	ad given the defendant an
audible or vis	ual signal to stop;	_
<u>5</u> .	The defendant caused injury to	$(name\ of\ victim);]^2$
[5] 6.	This happened in New Mexico, on or about the	day of

USE NOTES

- 1. Insert the count number if more than one count is charged.
- 2. Insert when a violation of Section 30-22-1.1(C) NMSA 1978, injury to another person, is charged.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-060, effective February 2, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-032, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. ______, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after ______.]

Committee commentary. — See NMSA 1978, § 30-22-1.1 [(2003)] (2022).

This instruction has been modified to comport with the holding in *State v. Vest*, 2021-NMSC-020, ¶¶ 13, 19, 28, 39, 488 P.3d 626, which interprets the aggravated fleeing statute to focus on the social harm from a defendant's conduct, rather than the particular result of the conduct. *Vest* clarifies aggravated fleeing requires "only that a defendant willfully and carelessly drove so dangerously that the defendant created a risk of harm, a risk that could have endangered someone in the community," and "does not require that an identifiable person was actually endangered as a result of the defendant's flight from law enforcement." *Id.* ¶¶ 13, 19.

Some language in *Vest* could be interpreted as expanding liability to causing a risk of harm to the community other than death. *See Vest*, 2021-NMSC-020, ¶ 39. The Committee believes the holding of *Vest* does not relax the statutory requirement that the risk to the community be lifethreatening: "A defendant is guilty of aggravated fleeing if he or she fled police by driving in a way that threatened the lives of people in the community." Id. ¶ 19.

In 2022, the Legislature codified the distinction between aggravated fleeing producing a generalized risk of harm to the community and aggravated fleeing actually resulting in harm to a victim, by leaving the former a fourth-degree felony and increasing the penalty for the latter to third degree. See NMSA 1978, Section 30-22-1.1(B), (C). In apparent response to State v. Montano, 2020-NMSC-009, 486 P.3d 838, the Legislature amended the statute to require that the pursuit be in an authorized emergency vehicle under NMSA 1978, Section 66-7-6 (1989).

Although the statute requires that the pursuit be conducted "in accordance with" the Law Enforcement Safe Pursuit Act, NMSA 1978, §§ 29-20-1 to -4 (2003), this is not an essential element of the crime. *State v. Padilla*, 2008-NMSC-006, 143 N.M. 310, 176 P.3d 299. [Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-060, effective February 2, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-032, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. , effective for all cases pending or filed on or after _____.]



Amy Feagans <supajf@nmcourts.gov>

[rules.supremecourt-grp] Rule Proposal Comment Form, 03/24/2023, 3:07 pm

1 message

web-admin@nmcourts.gov <nmcourtswebforms@nmcourts.gov>

Fri, Mar 24, 2023 at 3:07 PM

Reply-To: nmcourtswebforms@nmcourts.gov To: rules.supremecourt@nmcourts.gov

Your Name: Jonathan Ibarra Phone Number: 505-369-3600

Email: jonathanl.ibarra@lopdnm.us

Proposal Number: 2023-021

Comment: The added element 5 is missing an opening bracket. Small but important typo. Thanks!