PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE FOR THE DISTRICT COURTS, THE RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE FOR THE MAGISTRATE COURTS, AND THE RULES OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE FOR THE METROPOLITAN COURTS PROPOSAL 2023-009

March 24, 2023

The Rules of Criminal Procedure for State Courts Committee has recommended amendments to Rules 5-401, 5-403, 6-401, 6-403, 6-506, 6-802, 7-401, 7-403, 7-506, 7-802, 8-401, 8-403, 8-506, and 8-802 NMRA for the Supreme Court's consideration.

If you would like to comment on the proposed amendments set forth below before the Court takes final action, you may do so by either submitting a comment electronically through the Supreme Court's web site at http://supremecourt.nmcourts.gov/open-for-comment.aspx or sending your written comments by mail, email, or fax to:

Elizabeth A. Garcia, Chief Clerk of Court New Mexico Supreme Court P.O. Box 848 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-0848 nmsupremecourtclerk@nmcourts.gov 505-827-4837 (fax)

Your comments must be received by the Clerk on or before April 24, 2023, to be considered by the Court. Please note that any submitted comments may be posted on the Supreme Court's web site for public viewing.

5-401. Pretrial release.

A. Hearing.

- (1) *Time.* If a case is initiated in the district court, and the conditions of release have not been set by the magistrate or metropolitan court, the district court shall conduct a hearing under this rule and issue an order setting the conditions of release as soon as practicable, but in no event later than
- (a) if the defendant remains in custody, three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or five (5) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center; or
 - (b) arraignment, if the defendant is not in custody.
- (2) **Right to counsel.** If the defendant does not have counsel at the initial release conditions hearing and is not ordered released at the hearing, the matter shall be continued for no longer than three (3) additional days for a further hearing to review conditions of release, at which the defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel.

- (3) Local detention center; defined. A local detention center is one that is commonly used by the district court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court.
- B. Right to pretrial release; recognizance or unsecured appearance bond. Pending trial, any defendant eligible for pretrial release under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution shall be ordered released pending trial on the defendant's personal recognizance or on the execution of an unsecured appearance bond in an amount set by the court, unless the court makes written findings of particularized reasons why the release will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required. The court may impose non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, but the court shall impose the least restrictive condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person or the community.
- C. Factors to be considered in determining conditions of release. In determining the least restrictive conditions of release that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community, the court shall consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant. In addition, the court may take into account the available information about
- (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves alcohol or drugs;
 - (2) the weight of the evidence against the defendant;
 - (3) the history and characteristics of the defendant, including
- (a) the defendant's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, past and present residences, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record about appearance at court proceedings; and
- (b) whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, or appeal for any offense under federal, state, or local law;
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the defendant's release;
- (5) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required; and
- (6) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not commit new crimes if released.
- D. **Non-monetary conditions of release.** In its order setting conditions of release, the court shall impose a standard condition that the defendant not commit a federal, state, or local crime during the period of release. The court may also impose the least restrictive particularized condition, or combination of particularized conditions, that the court finds will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the safety of any other person and the community, and the orderly administration of justice, which may include the condition that the defendant
- (1) remain in the custody of a designated person who agrees to assume supervision and to report any violation of a release condition to the court, if the designated person is able reasonably to assure the court that the defendant will appear as required and will not pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community;

- (2) maintain employment, or, if unemployed, actively seek employment;
- (3) maintain or commence an educational program;
- (4) abide by specified restrictions on personal associations, place of abode, or travel;
- (5) avoid all contact with an alleged victim of the crime or with a potential witness who may testify about the offense;
- (6) report on a regular basis to a designated pretrial services agency or other agency agreeing to supervise the defendant;
 - (7) comply with a specified curfew;
- (8) refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon;
- (9) refrain from any use of alcohol or any use of an illegal drug or other controlled substance without a prescription by a licensed medical practitioner;
- (10) refrain from any use of cannabis, cannabis products, or synthetic cannabinoids without a certification from a licensed medical practitioner;
- (11) undergo available medical, psychological, or psychiatric treatment, including treatment for drug or alcohol dependency, and remain in a specified institution if required for that purpose;
- (12) submit to a drug test or an alcohol test on request of a person designated by the court;
- (13) return to custody for specified hours after release for employment, schooling, or other limited purposes; and
- (14) satisfy any other condition that is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community.
- E. **Secured bond.** If the court makes findings of the reasons why release on personal recognizance or unsecured appearance bond, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the court may require a secured bond for the defendant's release.

(1) Factors to be considered in setting secured bond.

- (a) In determining whether any secured bond is necessary, the court may consider any facts tending to indicate that the particular defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required.
- (b) The court shall set secured bond at the lowest amount necessary to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance and with regard to the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond.
- (c) The court shall not set a secured bond that a defendant cannot afford for the purpose of detaining a defendant who is otherwise eligible for pretrial release.
- (d) Secured bond shall not be set by reference to a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge.
- (2) *Types of secured bond.* If a secured bond is determined necessary in a particular case, the court shall impose the first of the following types of secured bond that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant.
- (a) *Percentage bond*. The court may require a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release,

secured by a deposit in cash of ten percent (10%) of the amount specified. The deposit may be returned as provided in Paragraph M of this rule.

- (b) *Property bond.* The court may require the execution of a property bond by the defendant or by unpaid sureties in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by the pledging of real property in accordance with Rule 5-401.1 NMRA.
- (c) Cash or surety bond. The court may give the defendant the option of either
- (i) a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by a deposit in cash of one hundred percent (100%) of the amount specified, which may be returned as provided in Paragraph M of this rule, or
- (ii) a surety bond executed by licensed sureties in accordance with Rule 5-401.2 NMRA for one hundred percent (100%) of the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release.

F. Order setting conditions of release; findings about secured bond.

- (1) Contents of order setting conditions of release. The order setting conditions of release shall
- (a) include a written statement that sets forth all the conditions to which the release is subject, in a manner sufficiently clear and specific to serve as a guide for the defendant's conduct; and
 - (b) advise the defendant of
- (i) the penalties for violating a condition of release, including the penalties for committing an offense while on pretrial release;
- (ii) the consequences for violating a condition of release, including the immediate issuance of a warrant for the defendant's arrest, revocation of pretrial release, and forfeiture of bond; and
- (iii) the consequences of intimidating a witness, victim, or informant, or otherwise obstructing justice.
- (2) Written findings about secured bond. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond, if any, as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.

G. **Pretrial detention.**

- (1) If the prosecutor files a motion for pretrial detention, the court shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 5-409 NMRA.
- (2) The court may schedule a detention hearing within the time limits set forth in Rule 5-409(F)(1) NMRA and give notice to the prosecutor and <u>the</u> defendant when
 - (a) the defendant is charged with a felony offense
 - (i) involving the use of a firearm;
 - (ii) involving the use of a deadly weapon resulting in great

bodily harm or death; or

possibility of parole; or

- (iii) which authorizes a sentence of life in prison without the
- (b) a public safety assessment tool approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction flags potential new violent criminal activity for the defendant.

(3) If the prosecutor does not file a motion for pretrial detention by the date scheduled for the detention hearing, the court shall treat the hearing as a pretrial release hearing under this rule and issue an order setting conditions of release.

H. Case pending in district court; motion for review of conditions of release.

- (1) **Motion for review.** If the district court requires a secured bond for the defendant's release under Paragraph E of this rule or imposes non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, and the defendant remains in custody twenty-four (24) hours after the issuance of the order setting conditions of release as a result of the defendant's inability to post the secured bond or meet the conditions of release in the present case, the defendant shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions of release.
- (2) **Review hearing.** The district court shall hold a hearing in an expedited manner, but in no event later than five (5) days after the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing. Unless the order setting conditions of release is amended and the defendant is then released, the court shall state in the record the reasons for declining to amend the order setting conditions of release. The court shall consider the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond. No defendant eligible for pretrial release under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution shall be detained solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond unless the court determines by clear and convincing evidence and makes findings of the reasons why the amount of secured bond required by the court is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the particular defendant as required. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.
- (3) Work or school release. A defendant who is ordered released on a condition that requires that the defendant return to custody after specified hours shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions imposed. Unless the requirement is removed and the defendant is released on another condition, the court shall state in the record the reason for the continuation of the requirement. A hearing to review conditions of release under this subparagraph shall be held by the district court within five (5) days of the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing.
- (4) **Subsequent motion for review.** The defendant may file subsequent motions for review of the order setting conditions of release, but the court may rule on subsequent motions with or without a hearing.
- I. Amendment of conditions. The court may amend its order setting conditions of release at any time. If the amendment of the order may result in the detention of the defendant or in more restrictive conditions of release, the court shall not amend the order without a hearing. If the court is considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release for violating a condition of release, the court shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 5-403 NMRA.
- J. **Record of hearing.** A record shall be made of any hearing held by the district court under this rule.
- K. Cases pending in magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court; petition for release or review by district court.

- (1) Case within magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court trial jurisdiction. A defendant charged with an offense that is within magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court trial jurisdiction may file a petition in the district court for review of the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court's order setting conditions of release only after the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court has ruled on a motion to review the conditions of release under Rule 6-401(H) NMRA, Rule 7-401(H) NMRA, or Rule 8-401(G) NMRA. The defendant shall attach to the district court petition a copy of the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court order disposing of the defendant's motion for review.
- (2) *Felony case.* A defendant charged with a felony offense who has not been bound over to the district court may file a petition in the district court for release under this rule at any time after the defendant's arrest.
- (3) **Petition; requirements.** A petition under this paragraph shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
- (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court;
 - (b) serve a copy on the district attorney; and
 - (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (4) Magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of a petition under this paragraph, the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court's jurisdiction to set or amend the conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court, unless the case is dismissed or a finding of no probable cause is made. The magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court shall retain jurisdiction over all other aspects of the case, and the case shall proceed in the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court while the district court petition is pending. The magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court's order setting conditions of release, if any, shall remain in effect unless and until the district court issues an order amending the conditions of release.
- (5) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner. Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
- (a) set a hearing no later than ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly send a copy of the notice to the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court;
 - (b) deny the petition summarily; or
 - (c) amend the order setting conditions of release without a hearing.
- (6) District court order; transmission to magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court. The district court shall promptly send to the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court a copy of the district court order disposing of the petition, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court.
- L. **Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody.** The district court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained as a result of inability to post a secured bond or meet the conditions of release. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than six (6) months and every six (6) months thereafter. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

- M. **Return of cash deposit.** If a defendant has been released by executing a secured appearance bond and depositing a cash deposit under Paragraph E of this rule, when the conditions of the appearance bond have been performed and the defendant's case has been adjudicated by the court, the clerk shall return the sum that has been deposited to the person who deposited the sum, or that person's personal representatives or assigns.
- N. Release from custody by designee. The chief judge of the district court may designate by written court order responsible persons to implement the pretrial release procedures set forth in Rule 5-408 NMRA. A designee shall release a defendant from custody before the defendant's first appearance before a judge if the defendant is eligible for pretrial release under Rule 5-408 NMRA, but may contact a judge for special consideration based on exceptional circumstances. No person shall be qualified to serve as a designee if the person or the person's spouse is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety who is licensed to sell property or corporate bonds within this state.
- O. **Bind over to district court.** For any case that is not within magistrate or metropolitan court trial jurisdiction, on notice to that court, any bond shall be transferred to the district court on the filing of an information or indictment in the district court.
- P. **Evidence.** Information offered in connection with or stated in any proceeding held or order entered under this rule need not conform to the New Mexico Rules of Evidence.
- Q. **Forms.** Instruments required by this rule, including any order setting conditions of release, appearance bond, property bond, or surety bond, shall be substantially in the form approved by the Supreme Court.
- R. **Judicial discretion; disqualification and excusal.** Action by any court on any matter relating to pretrial release shall not preclude the subsequent statutory disqualification of a judge. A judge may not be excused from setting initial conditions of release or reviewing a lower court's order setting or revoking conditions of release unless the judge is required to recuse under the provisions of the New Mexico Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct.

[As amended, effective January 1, 1987; October 1, 1987; September 1, 1990; December 1, 1990; September 1, 2005; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 07-8300-029, effective December 10, 2007; by Supreme Court Order No. 10-8300-033, effective December 10, 2010; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 14-8300-017, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2014; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 20-8300-013, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after November 23, 2020; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. , effective .]

Committee commentary. — This rule provides "the mechanism through which a person may effectuate the right to pretrial release afforded by Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution." *State v. Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 37, 338 P.3d 1276. In 2016, Article II, Section 13 was amended (1) to permit a court of record to order the detention of a felony defendant pending trial if the prosecutor proves by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a danger to the safety of any other person or the community and that no release condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the safety of any other person or the community, and (2) to require the pretrial release of a defendant who is in custody solely due to financial inability to post a secured bond. This rule was derived from the federal statute governing the release or detention of a defendant pending trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3142.

This rule was amended in 2017 to implement the 2016 amendment to Article II, Section 13 and the Supreme Court's holding in *Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038. Corresponding rules are located in the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Magistrate Courts, *see* Rule 6-401 NMRA, the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Metropolitan Courts, *see* Rule 7-401 NMRA, and the Rules of Procedure for the Municipal Courts, *see* Rule 8-401 NMRA.

Time periods specified in this rule are computed in accordance with Rule 5-104 NMRA.

Just as assistance of counsel is required at a detention hearing under Rule 5-409 NMRA that may result in a denial of pretrial release based on dangerousness, Subparagraphs (A)(2), (H)(2), and (H)(3) of this rule provide that assistance of counsel is required in a proceeding that may result in denial of pretrial release based on reasons that do not involve dangerousness, such as a simple inability to meet a financial condition.

As set forth in Paragraph B, a defendant is entitled to release on personal recognizance or unsecured bond unless the court determines that any release, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of any other person or the community.

Paragraph C lists the factors the court should consider when determining conditions of release. In all cases, the court is required to consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant.

Paragraph D lists various non-monetary conditions of release. The court must impose the least restrictive condition, or combination of conditions, that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community. *See Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶¶ 1, 37, 39. If the defendant has previously been released on standard conditions before a court appearance, the judge should review the conditions at the defendant's first appearance to determine whether any particularized conditions should be imposed under the circumstances of the case. Paragraph D also permits the court to impose non-monetary conditions of release to ensure the orderly administration of justice. This provision was derived from the American Bar Association, *ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release*, Standard 10-5.2 (3d ed. 2007). Some conditions of release may have a cost associated with the condition. The court should make a determination on whether the defendant can afford to pay all or a part of the cost, or whether the court has the authority to waive the cost, because detaining a defendant because of financial inability to post a secured bond.

As set forth in Paragraph E, the only purpose for which the court may impose a secured bond is to ensure that the defendant will appear for trial and other pretrial proceedings for which the defendant must be present. *See State v. Ericksons*, 1987-NMSC-108, ¶ 6, 106 N.M. 567, 746 P.2d 1099 ("[T]he purpose of bail is to secure the defendant's attendance to submit to the punishment to be imposed by the court."); *see also* NMSA 1978, § 31-3-2(B)(2) (1993) (authorizing the forfeiture of bond on the defendant's failure to appear).

The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify that the amount of secured bond must not be based on a bond schedule, i.e., a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge. Instead, the court must consider the individual defendant's financial resources and must set secured bond at the lowest amount that will reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance in court after the defendant is released.

Secured bond cannot be used for the purpose of detaining a defendant who may pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. *See Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 53 ("Neither the New Mexico Constitution nor our rules of criminal procedure permit a judge to set high bail for the purpose of preventing a defendant's pretrial release."); *see also Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951) (stating that secured bond set higher than the amount reasonably calculated to ensure the defendant's appearance in court "is 'excessive' under the Eighth Amendment"). A felony defendant who poses a danger that cannot be mitigated through the imposition of nonmonetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule should be detained under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution and Rule 5-409 NMRA.

The court should consider the authorized types of secured bonds in the order of priority set forth in Paragraph E.

The court must first consider requiring an appearance bond secured by a cash deposit of ten percent (10%). No other percentage is permitted under the rule. If a cash deposit of ten percent (10%) is inadequate, the court then must consider a property bond involving property that belongs to the defendant or other unpaid surety. If neither of these options is sufficient to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance, the court may require a cash or surety bond for the defendant's release. If the court requires a cash or surety bond, the defendant has the option either to execute an appearance bond and deposit one hundred percent (100%) of the amount of the bond with the court or to purchase a bond from a paid surety. Under Subparagraph (E)(2)(c), the defendant alone has the choice to post the bond by a one hundred percent (100%) cash deposit or a surety. The court does not have the option to set a cash-only bond or a surety-only bond; it must give the defendant the choice of either. A paid surety may execute a surety bond or a real or personal property bond only if the conditions of Rule 5-401.2 NMRA are met.

Paragraph F governs the contents of an order setting conditions of release. See Form 9-303 NMRA (order setting conditions of release). Paragraph F also requires the court to make written findings justifying the imposition of a secured bond, if any. Judges are encouraged to enter their written findings on the order setting conditions of release at the conclusion of the hearing. If more detailed findings are necessary, the judge should make any supplemental findings in a separate document within two (2) days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Paragraph G addresses pretrial detention of a dangerous defendant under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution. If the defendant poses a danger to the safety of any other person or the community that cannot be addressed through the imposition of non-monetary conditions of release, the prosecutor may file a motion for pretrial detention. If the prosecutor files a motion for pretrial detention, the district court must follow the procedures set forth in Rule 5-409 NMRA. Paragraph G was amended in 2020 to permit the court to automatically schedule a pretrial detention hearing in certain categories of cases. However, before the hearing, the prosecutor retains the burden of filing an expedited motion for pretrial detention under Rule 5-409 NMRA. If the prosecutor does not file that motion before the hearing, then the court is to set conditions of release rather than consider detention.

Paragraphs H and K provide avenues for a defendant to seek district court review of the conditions of release. Paragraph H applies to a defendant whose case is pending before the district court. Paragraph K sets forth the procedure for a defendant whose case is pending in the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court. Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution requires the court to rule on a motion or a petition for pretrial release "in an expedited manner" and to release a defendant who is being held solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond.

A defendant who wishes to present financial information to a court to support a motion or petition for pretrial release may present Form 9-301A NMRA (pretrial release financial affidavit) to the court. The defendant shall be entitled to appear and participate personally with counsel before the judge conducting any hearing to review the conditions of release, rather than by any means of remote electronic conferencing.

Paragraph L requires the district court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody because of inability to post bond or meet the conditions of release. See generally United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate due process, in part because of "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161"); Am. Bar Ass'n, ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release, Standard 10-5.11 (3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limitations within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

Under NMSA 1978, Section 31-3-1 (1972), the court may appoint a designee to carry out the provisions of this rule. As set forth in Paragraph N, a designee must be designated by the chief district court judge in a written court order. A person may not be appointed as a designee if the person is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety licensed in this state to execute bail bonds. A jailer may be appointed as a designee. Paragraph N and Rule 5-408 NMRA govern the limited circumstances under which a designee shall release an arrested defendant from custody before that defendant's first appearance before a judge.

Paragraph O requires the magistrate or metropolitan court to transfer any bond to the district court on notice from the district attorney that an information or indictment has been filed. *See* Rules 6-202(E)-(F)[-] and 7-202(E)-(F) NMRA (requiring the district attorney to notify the magistrate or metropolitan court of the filing of an information or indictment in the district court).

Paragraph P of this rule dovetails with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. Both provide that the Rules of Evidence are not applicable to proceedings in district court with respect to matters of pretrial release. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial release hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754 (E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into account in passing sentence."), aff'd, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir.1983); State v. Guthrie, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence).

Consistent with Rule 5-106 NMRA, a party cannot exercise the statutory right to excuse a judge who is setting initial conditions of release. *See* NMSA 1978, § 38-3-9 (1985). Paragraph R

of this rule does not prevent a judge from filing a recusal either on the court's own motion or motion of a party. See N.M. Const. art. VI, § 18; Rule 21-211 NMRA.

[As amended by Supreme Court Order No. 07-8300-029, effective December 10, 2007; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 20-8300-021, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after November 23, 2020; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. , effective ...]

5.403. Revocation or modification of release orders.

- A. **Scope.** In accordance with this rule, the court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release
 - (1) if the defendant is alleged to have violated a condition of release; or
- (2) to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

B. Motion for revocation or modification of conditions of release.

- (1) The court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release on motion of the prosecutor or on the court's own motion.
- (2) The defendant may file a response to the motion, but the filing of a response shall not delay any hearing under Paragraph D or E of this rule.
- C. **Issuance of summons or bench warrant.** If the court does not deny the motion on the pleadings, the court shall issue a summons and notice of hearing, unless the court finds that the interests of justice may be better served by the issuance of a bench warrant. The summons or bench warrant shall include notice of the reasons for the review of the pretrial release decision.

D. Initial hearing.

- (1) The court shall hold an initial hearing as soon as practicable, but if the defendant is in custody, the hearing shall be held no later than three (3) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center.
- (2) At the initial hearing, the court may continue the existing conditions of release, set different conditions of release, or propose revocation of release.
- (3) If the court proposes revocation of release, the court shall schedule an evidentiary hearing under Paragraph E of this rule, unless waived by the defendant.

E. Evidentiary hearing.

- (1) *Time*. The evidentiary hearing shall be held as soon as practicable. If the defendant is in custody, the evidentiary hearing shall be held no later than seven (7) days after the initial hearing.
- (2) **Defendant's rights.** The defendant has the right to be present and to be represented by counsel and, if financially unable to obtain counsel, to have counsel appointed. The defendant shall be afforded an opportunity to testify, to present witnesses, to compel the attendance of witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses who appear at the hearing, and to present information by proffer or otherwise. If the defendant testifies at the hearing, the defendant's testimony shall not be used against the defendant at trial except for impeachment purposes or in a subsequent prosecution for perjury.

- F. Order at completion of evidentiary hearing. At the completion of an evidentiary hearing, the court shall determine whether the defendant has violated a condition of release or whether revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice. The court may
 - (1) continue the existing conditions of release;
- (2) set new or additional conditions of release in accordance with Rule 5-401 NMRA; or
 - (3) revoke the defendant's release, if the court
 - (a) finds either
- (i) probable cause to believe that the defendant committed a federal, state, or local crime while on release; or
- (ii) clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has willfully violated any other condition of release; and
 - (b) finds clear and convincing evidence that either
- (i) no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the defendant's compliance with the release conditions ordered by the court; or
- (ii) revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

An order revoking release shall include written findings of the individualized facts justifying revocation.

- G. **Evidence.** The New Mexico Rules of Evidence shall not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at any hearing under this rule.
- H. **Review of conditions.** If the court enters an order setting new or additional conditions of release, the defendant may file a motion to review the conditions under Rule 5-401(H) NMRA. If, on disposition of the motion, the defendant is detained or continues to be detained because of a failure to meet a condition imposed, or is subject to a requirement to return to custody after specified hours, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA.
- I. **Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody.** The [district] court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained pending trial. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than six (6) months and every six (6) months thereafter. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.
- J. **Appeal.** If the court revokes the defendant's release, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA. The appeal shall be heard in an expedited manner. The defendant shall be detained pending the disposition of the appeal.
- K. Petition for review of revocation order issued by magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court. If the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court issues an order revoking the defendant's release, the defendant may petition the district court for review under this paragraph.
- (1) **Petition; requirements.** The petition shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
- (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court;

- (b) serve a copy on the district attorney; and
- (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (2) Magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of the petition, the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court's jurisdiction to set or amend conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court. The case shall proceed in the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court while the petition is pending.
- (3) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner.
- (a) Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
 - (i) issue an order affirming the revocation order; or
- (ii) set a hearing to be held within ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly send a copy of the notice to the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court.
- (b) If the district court holds a hearing on the petition, at the conclusion of the hearing the court shall issue either an order affirming the revocation order or an order setting conditions of release in accordance with Rule 5-401 NMRA.
- (4) Transmission of district court order to magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court. The district court shall promptly send the order to the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the magistrate, metropolitan, or municipal court.
- (5) *Appeal.* If the district court affirms the revocation order, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA.
- L. **Judicial discretion; disqualification and excusal.** Action by any court on any matter relating to pretrial release or detention shall not preclude the subsequent statutory disqualification of a judge. A judge may not be excused from reviewing a lower court's order revoking conditions of release unless the judge is required to recuse under the provisions of the New Mexico Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct.

[As amended, effective September 1, 1990; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-046, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-024, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after February 1, 2019; as amended by Supreme Court Order Nos. 20-8300-013 and 20-8300-019, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after November 23, 2020; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. , effective

Committee commentary. — The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify the procedure for the court to follow when considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release for violating the conditions of release. *In State v. Segura*, 2014-NMCA-037, ¶¶ 1, 24-25, 321 P.3d 140, the Court of Appeals held that due process requires courts to afford the defendant notice and an opportunity to be heard before the court may revoke the defendant's bail and remand the defendant into custody. *See also Tijerina v. Baker*, 1968-NMSC-009, ¶ 9, 78 N.M. 770, 438 P.2d 514 (explaining that the right to bail is not absolute); id.

¶ 10 ("If the court has inherent power to revoke bail of a defendant during trial and pending final disposition of the criminal case in order to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice, the right to do so before trial seems to be equally apparent under a proper set of facts."); *State v. Rivera*, 2003-NMCA-059, ¶ 20, 133 N.M. 571, 66 P.3d 344 ("Conditions of release are separate, coercive powers of a court, apart from the bond itself. They are enforceable by immediate arrest, revocation, or modification if violated. Such conditions of release are intended to protect the public and keep the defendant in line."), *rev'd on other grounds*, 2004-NMSC-001, 134 N.M. 768, 82 P.3d 939.

As used in Paragraph D, a local detention center is one that is commonly used by the district court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. *See* Rule 5-401 NMRA.

Paragraph G provides that the New Mexico Rules of Evidence do not apply at a revocation hearing, consistent with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial detention hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); State v. Ingram, 155 A.3d 597 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 2017) (holding that it is within the discretion of the detention hearing court to determine whether a pretrial detention order may be supported in an individual case by documentary evidence, proffer, one or more live witnesses, or other forms of information the court deems sufficient); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754 (E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into account in passing sentence."), aff'd, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir. 1983); State v. Guthrie, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence); State v. Vigil, 1982-NMCA-058, ¶ 24, 97 N.M. 749, 643 P.2d 618 (holding in a probation revocation hearing that hearsay untested for accuracy or reliability lacked probative value).

Paragraph I requires the district court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody. See generally United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate due process, in part due to "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act," 18 U.S.C. § 3161); Am. Bar Ass'n, ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release, Standard 10-5.11 (3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limitations within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

Consistent with Rule 5-106 NMRA, a party cannot exercise the statutory right to excuse a judge who is reviewing a lower court's order setting or revoking conditions of release. *See* NMSA

1978, § 38-3-9 (1985). Paragraph L of this rule does not prevent a judge from filing a recusal either on the court's own motion or motion of a party. See N.M. Const. art. VI, § 18; Rule 21-211 NMRA.

The 1975 amendment to Rule 5-402 NMRA makes it clear that this rule may be invoked while the defendant is appealing a conviction. *See* Rule 5-402 NMRA and commentary. [As amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. , effective .]

6-401. Pretrial release.

A. Hearing.

- (1) *Time.* The court shall conduct a hearing under this rule and issue an order setting conditions of release as soon as practicable, but in no event later than
- (a) if the defendant remains in custody, three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or five (5) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center; or
 - (b) first appearance or arraignment, if the defendant is not in custody.
- (2) **Right to counsel.** If the defendant does not have counsel at the initial release conditions hearing and is not ordered released at the hearing, the matter shall be continued for no longer than three (3) additional days for a further hearing to review conditions of release, at which the defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel.
- (3) Local detention center; defined. A local detention center is one that is commonly used by the magistrate court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court.
- B. Right to pretrial release; recognizance or unsecured appearance bond. Pending trial, any defendant eligible for pretrial release under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution shall be ordered released pending trial on the defendant's personal recognizance or on the execution of an unsecured appearance bond in an amount set by the court, unless the court makes written findings of particularized reasons why the release will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required. The court may impose non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, but the court shall impose the least restrictive condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person or the community.
- C. Factors to be considered in determining conditions of release. In determining the least restrictive conditions of release that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community, the court shall consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant. In addition, the court may take into account the available information about
- (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves alcohol or drugs;
 - (2) the weight of the evidence against the defendant;
 - (3) the history and characteristics of the defendant, including
- (a) the defendant's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, past and present residences, length of residence in the community, community

ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record about appearance at court proceedings; and

- (b) whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, or appeal for any offense under federal, state, or local law;
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the defendant's release;
- (5) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required; and
- (6) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not commit new crimes if released.
- D. **Non-monetary conditions of release.** In its order setting conditions of release, the court shall impose a standard condition that the defendant not commit a federal, state, or local crime during the period of release. The court may also impose the least restrictive particularized condition, or combination of particularized conditions, that the court finds will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the safety of any other person and the community, and the orderly administration of justice, which may include the condition that the defendant
- (1) remain in the custody of a designated person who agrees to assume supervision and to report any violation of a release condition to the court, if the designated person is able reasonably to assure the court that the defendant will appear as required and will not pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community;
 - (2) maintain employment, or, if unemployed, actively seek employment;
 - (3) maintain or commence an educational program;
- (4) abide by specified restrictions on personal associations, place of abode, or travel;
- (5) avoid all contact with an alleged victim of the crime or with a potential witness who may testify about the offense;
- (6) report on a regular basis to a designated pretrial services agency or other agency agreeing to supervise the defendant;
 - (7) comply with a specified curfew;
- (8) refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon;
- (9) refrain from any use of alcohol or any use of an illegal drug or other controlled substance without a prescription by a licensed medical practitioner;
- (10) refrain from any use of cannabis, cannabis products, or synthetic cannabinoids without a certification from a licensed medical practitioner;
- (11) undergo available medical, psychological, or psychiatric treatment, including treatment for drug or alcohol dependency, and remain in a specified institution if required for that purpose;
- (12) submit to a drug test or an alcohol test on request of a person designated by the court:
- (13) return to custody for specified hours after release for employment, schooling, or other limited purposes; and
- (14) satisfy any other condition that is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community.

E. **Secured bond.** If the court makes written findings of the particularized reasons why release on personal recognizance or unsecured appearance bond, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the court may require a secured bond for the defendant's release.

(1) Factors to be considered in setting secured bond.

- (a) In determining whether any secured bond is necessary, the court may consider any facts tending to indicate that the particular defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required.
- (b) The court shall set secured bond at the lowest amount necessary to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance and with regard to the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond.
- (c) The court shall not set a secured bond that a defendant cannot afford for the purpose of detaining a defendant who is otherwise eligible for pretrial release.
- (d) Secured bond shall not be set by reference to a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge.
- (2) **Types of secured bond.** If a secured bond is determined necessary in a particular case, the court shall impose the first of the following types of secured bond that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant.
- (a) Percentage bond. The court may require a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by a deposit in cash of ten percent (10%) of the amount specified. The deposit may be returned as provided in Paragraph L of this rule.
- (b) *Property bond.* The court may require the execution of a property bond by the defendant or by unpaid sureties in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by the pledging of real property in accordance with Rule 6-401.1 NMRA.
- (c) Cash or surety bond. The court may give the defendant the option of either
- (i) a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by a deposit in cash of one hundred percent (100%) of the amount specified, which may be returned as provided in Paragraph L of this rule, or
- (ii) a surety bond executed by licensed sureties in accordance with Rule 6-401.2 NMRA for one hundred percent (100%) of the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release.

F. Order setting conditions of release; findings about secured bond.

- (1) Contents of order setting conditions of release. The order setting conditions of release shall
- (a) include a written statement that sets forth all the conditions to which the release is subject, in a manner sufficiently clear and specific to serve as a guide for the defendant's conduct; and
 - (b) advise the defendant of
- (i) the penalties for violating a condition of release, including the penalties for committing an offense while on pretrial release;

- (ii) the consequences for violating a condition of release, including the immediate issuance of a warrant for the defendant's arrest, revocation of pretrial release, and forfeiture of bond; and
- (iii) the consequences of intimidating a witness, victim, or informant, or otherwise obstructing justice.
- (2) Written findings about secured bond. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond, if any, as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.
- G. **Pretrial detention.** If the prosecutor files a motion for pretrial detention, the court shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 6-409 NMRA.

H. Motion for review of conditions of release by the magistrate court.

- (1) **Motion for review.** If the magistrate court requires a secured bond for the defendant's release under Paragraph E of this rule or imposes non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, and the defendant remains in custody twenty-four (24) hours after the issuance of the order setting conditions of release as a result of the defendant's inability to post the secured bond or meet the conditions of release in the present case, the defendant shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions of release.
- (2) Review hearing. The magistrate court shall hold a hearing in an expedited manner, but in no event later than five (5) days after the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing. Unless the order setting conditions of release is amended and the defendant is then released, the court shall file a written order setting forth the reasons for declining to amend the order setting conditions of release. The court shall consider the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond. No defendant eligible for pretrial release under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution shall be detained solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond unless the court determines by clear and convincing evidence and makes findings of the reasons why the amount of secured bond required by the court is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the particular defendant as required. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.
- (3) Work or school release. A defendant who is ordered released on a condition that requires that the defendant return to custody after specified hours shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions imposed. Unless the requirement is removed and the defendant is released on another condition, the court shall file a written order setting forth the reason for the continuation of the requirement. A hearing to review conditions of release under this subparagraph shall be held by the magistrate court within five (5) days of the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing.
- (4) **Subsequent motion for review.** The defendant may file subsequent motions for review of the order setting conditions of release, but the court may rule on subsequent motions with or without a hearing.
- I. Amendment of conditions. The court may amend its order setting conditions of release at any time. If the amendment of the order may result in the detention of the defendant or in more restrictive conditions of release, the court shall not amend the order without a hearing. If the court is considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the

defendant's conditions of release for violating a condition of release, the court shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 6-403 NMRA.

J. Petition to district court.

- (1) Case within magistrate court trial jurisdiction. A defendant charged with an offense that is within magistrate court trial jurisdiction may file a petition in the district court for review of the magistrate court's order setting conditions of release under this paragraph only after the magistrate court has ruled on a motion to review the conditions of release under Paragraph H of this rule. The defendant shall attach to the district court petition a copy of the magistrate court order disposing of the defendant's motion for review.
- (2) *Felony case.* A defendant charged with a felony offense who has not been bound over to the district court may file a petition in the district court for release under Rule 5-401(K) NMRA and this paragraph at any time after the defendant's arrest.
- (3) *Petition; requirements.* A petition to the district court under this paragraph shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
 - (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the magistrate court[5];
 - (b) serve a copy on the district attorney $[\frac{1}{2}]$; and
 - (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (4) Magistrate court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of a petition under this paragraph, the magistrate court's jurisdiction to set or amend the conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court. The magistrate court shall retain jurisdiction over all other aspects of the case, and the case shall proceed in the magistrate court while the district court petition is pending. The magistrate court's order setting conditions of release, if any, shall remain in effect unless and until the district court issues an order amending the conditions of release.
- (5) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner. Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
- (a) set a hearing no later than ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly transmit a copy of the notice to the magistrate court;
 - (b) deny the petition summarily; or
 - (c) amend the order setting conditions of release without a hearing.
- (6) **District court order; transmission to magistrate court.** The district court shall promptly transmit to the magistrate court a copy of the district court order disposing of the petition, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the magistrate court.
- K. Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody. The magistrate court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained as a result of inability to post a secured bond or meet the conditions of release. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than sixty (60) days. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.
- L. **Return of cash deposit.** If a defendant has been released by executing a secured appearance bond and depositing a cash deposit under Paragraph E of this rule, when the conditions of the appearance bond have been performed and the defendant's case has been adjudicated by the

court, the clerk shall return the sum that has been deposited to the person who deposited the sum, or that person's personal representatives or assigns.

- M. Release from custody by designee. The presiding judge of the magistrate court may designate by written court order responsible persons to implement the pretrial release procedures set forth in Rule 6-408 NMRA. A designee shall release a defendant from custody before the defendant's first appearance before a judge if the defendant is eligible for pretrial release under Rule 6-408 NMRA, but may contact a judge for special consideration based on exceptional circumstances. No person shall be qualified to serve as a designee if the person or the person's spouse is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety who is licensed to sell property or corporate bonds within this state.
- N. **Bind over to district court.** For any case that is not within magistrate court trial jurisdiction, on notice to the magistrate court, any bond shall be transferred to the district court on the filing of an information or indictment in the district court.
- O. **Evidence.** Information offered in connection with or stated in any proceeding held or order entered under this rule need not conform to the New Mexico Rules of Evidence.
- P. **Forms.** Instruments required by this rule, including any order setting conditions of release, appearance bond, property bond, or surety bond, shall be substantially in the form approved by the Supreme Court.
- Q. **Judicial discretion; disqualification and excusal.** Action by any court on any matter relating to pretrial release shall not preclude the subsequent statutory disqualification of a judge. A judge may not be excused from setting initial conditions of release unless the judge is required to recuse under the provisions of the New Mexico Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct.

[As amended, effective August 1, 1987; October 1, 1987; September 1, 1990; December 1, 1990; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 07-8300, effective January 22, 2008; by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-044, effective December 31, 2008; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. , effective

Committee commentary. — This rule provides "the mechanism through which a person may effectuate the right to pretrial release afforded by Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution." *State v. Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 37, 338 P.3d 1276. In 2016, Article II, Section 13 was amended (1) to permit a court of record to order the detention of a felony defendant pending trial if the prosecutor proves by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a danger to the safety of any other person or the community and that no release condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the safety of any other person or the community, and (2) to require the pretrial release of a defendant who is in custody solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond. This rule was derived from the federal statute governing the release or detention of a defendant pending trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3142. This rule was amended in 2017 to implement the 2016 amendment to Article II, Section 13 and the Supreme Court's holding in *Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038. Corresponding rules are located in the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts, *see* Rule 5-401 NMRA, the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Metropolitan Courts, *see* Rule 7-401 NMRA, and the Rules of Procedure for the Municipal Courts, *see* Rule 8-401 NMRA.

Time periods specified in this rule are computed in accordance with Rule 6-104 NMRA.

Just as assistance of counsel is required at a detention hearing under Rule 5-409 NMRA that may result in a denial of pretrial release based on dangerousness, Subparagraphs (A)(2), (H)(2), and (H)(3) of this rule provide that assistance of counsel is required in a proceeding that may result in denial of pretrial release based on reasons that do not involve dangerousness, such as a simple inability to meet a financial condition.

As set forth in Paragraph B, a defendant is entitled to release on personal recognizance or unsecured bond unless the court determines that a release, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of any other person or the community.

Paragraph C lists the factors the court should consider when determining conditions of release. In all cases, the court is required to consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant.

Paragraph D lists various non-monetary conditions of release. The court must impose the least restrictive condition, or combination of conditions, that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community. *See Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶¶ 1, 37, 39. If the defendant has previously been released on standard conditions before a court appearance, the judge should review the conditions at the defendant's first appearance to determine whether any particularized conditions should be imposed under the circumstances of the case. Paragraph D also permits the court to impose non-monetary conditions of release to ensure the orderly administration of justice. This provision was derived from the American Bar Association, ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release, Standard 10-5.2 (3d ed. 2007). Some conditions of release may have a cost associated with the condition. The court should make a determination about whether the defendant can afford to pay all or a part of the cost, or whether the court has the authority to waive the cost, because detaining a defendant because of financial inability to post a secured bond.

As set forth in Paragraph E, the only purpose for which the court may impose a secured bond is to ensure that the defendant will appear for trial and other pretrial proceedings for which the defendant must be present. *See State v. Ericksons*, 1987-NMSC-108, ¶ 6, 106 N.M. 567, 746 P.2d 1099 ("[T]he purpose of bail is to secure the defendant's attendance to submit to the punishment to be imposed by the court."); *see also* NMSA 1978, § 31-3-2(B)(2) (1993) (authorizing the forfeiture of bond on the defendant's failure to appear).

The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify that the amount of secured bond must not be based on a bond schedule, i.e., a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge. Instead, the court must consider the individual defendant's financial resources and must set secured bond at the lowest amount that will reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance in court after the defendant is released.

Secured bond cannot be used for the purpose of detaining a defendant who may pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. See Brown, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 53 ("Neither the New Mexico Constitution nor our rules of criminal procedure permit a judge to set high bail for the purpose of preventing a defendant's pretrial release."); see also Stack v. Boyle, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951) (stating that secured bond set higher than the amount reasonably calculated to ensure the defendant's appearance in court "is 'excessive' under the Eighth Amendment"). A

felony defendant who poses a danger that cannot be mitigated through the imposition of non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule should be detained under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution and Rule 5-409 NMRA.

The court should consider the authorized types of secured bonds in the order of priority set forth in Paragraph E. The court must first consider requiring an appearance bond secured by a cash deposit of ten percent (10%). No other percentage is permitted under the rule. If a cash deposit of ten percent (10%) is inadequate, the court then must consider a property bond involving property that belongs to the defendant or other unpaid surety. If neither of these options is sufficient to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance, the court may require a cash or surety bond for the defendant's release. If the court requires a cash or surety bond, the defendant has the option either to execute an appearance bond and deposit one hundred percent (100%) of the amount of the bond with the court or to purchase a bond from a paid surety. Under Subparagraph (E)(2)(c), the defendant alone has the choice to post the bond by a one hundred percent (100%) cash deposit or a surety. The court does not have the option to set a cash-only bond or a surety-only bond; it must give the defendant the choice of either. A paid surety may execute a surety bond or a real or personal property bond only if the conditions of Rule 6-401.2 NMRA are met.

Paragraph F governs the contents of an order setting conditions of release. See Form 9-303 NMRA (order setting conditions of release). Although pretrial release hearings are not required to be a matter of record in the magistrate court, Paragraph F requires the court to make written findings justifying the imposition of a secured bond, if any. Judges are encouraged to enter their written findings on the order setting conditions of release at the conclusion of the hearing. If more detailed findings are necessary, the judge should make the supplemental findings in a separate document within two (2) days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Paragraph G addresses pretrial detention of a dangerous defendant under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution. If the defendant poses a danger to the safety of any other person or the community that cannot be addressed through the imposition of non-monetary conditions of release, the prosecutor may file a motion for pretrial detention. If the prosecutor files a motion for pretrial detention, the magistrate court must follow the procedures set forth in Rule 6-409 NMRA.

Paragraph H sets forth the procedure for the defendant to file a motion in the magistrate court for review of the conditions of release. Paragraph J sets forth the procedure for the defendant to petition the district court for release or for review of the conditions of release set by the magistrate court. Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution requires the court to rule on a motion or petition for pretrial release "in an expedited manner" and to release a defendant who is being held solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond. A defendant who wishes to present financial information to a court to support a motion or a petition for pretrial release may present Form 9-301A NMRA (pretrial release financial affidavit) to the court. The defendant shall be entitled to appear and participate personally with counsel before the judge conducting any hearing to review the conditions of release, rather than by any means of remote electronic conferencing.

Paragraph K requires the magistrate court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody because of inability to post bond or meet the conditions of release. *See generally United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate due process, in part because of "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act," 18 U.S.C.

§ 3161); Am. Bar Ass'n, ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release, Standard 10-5.11 (3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limits within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

Under NMSA 1978, Section 31-3-1 (1972), the court may appoint a designee to carry out the provisions of this rule. As set forth in Paragraph M, a designee must be designated by the presiding magistrate court judge in a written court order. A person may not be appointed as a designee if that person is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety licensed in this state to execute bail bonds. A jailer may be appointed as a designee. Paragraph M and Rule 6-408 NMRA govern the limited circumstances under which a designee shall release an arrested defendant from custody before that defendant's first appearance before a judge.

Paragraph N requires the magistrate court to transfer any bond to the district court on notice from the district attorney that an information or indictment has been filed. *See* Rule 6-202(E)-(F) NMRA (requiring the district attorney to notify the magistrate court of the filing of an information or indictment in the district court).

Paragraph O of this rule dovetails with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. Both provide that the Rules of Evidence are not applicable to proceedings in the magistrate court with respect to matters of pretrial release. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial release hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754 (E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into account in passing sentence."), aff'd, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir.1983); State v. Guthrie, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence).

Consistent with Rule 6-106 NMRA, a party cannot exercise the statutory right to excuse a judge who is setting initial conditions of release. *See* NMSA 1978, § 35-3-7 (1983). Paragraph Q of this rule does not prevent a judge from filing a recusal either on the court's own motion or motion of a party. *See* N.M. Const. art. VI, § 18; Rule 21-211 NMRA.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022.]

6-403. Revocation or modification of release orders.

- A. **Scope.** In accordance with this rule, the court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release
 - (1) if the defendant is alleged to have violated a condition of release; or

(2) to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

B. Motion for revocation or modification of conditions of release.

- (1) The court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release on motion of the prosecutor or on the court's own motion.
- (2) The defendant may file a response to the motion, but the filing of a response shall not delay any hearing under Paragraph D or E of this rule.
- C. **Issuance of summons or bench warrant.** If the court does not deny the motion on the pleadings, the court shall issue a summons and notice of hearing, unless the court finds that the interests of justice may be better served by the issuance of a bench warrant. The summons or bench warrant shall include notice of the reasons for the review of the pretrial release decision.

D. Initial hearing.

- (1) The court shall hold an initial hearing as soon as practicable, but if the defendant is in custody, the hearing shall be held no later than three (3) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center.
- (2) At the initial hearing, the court may continue the existing conditions of release, set different conditions of release, or propose revocation of release.
- (3) If the court proposes revocation of release, the court shall schedule an evidentiary hearing under Paragraph E of this rule, unless waived by the defendant.

E. Evidentiary hearing.

NMRA; or

- (1) **Time.** The evidentiary hearing shall be held as soon as practicable. If the defendant is in custody, the evidentiary hearing shall be held no later than seven (7) days after the initial hearing.
- (2) **Defendant's rights.** The defendant has the right to be present and to be represented by counsel and, if financially unable to obtain counsel, to have counsel appointed. The defendant shall be afforded an opportunity to testify, to present witnesses, to compel the attendance of witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses who appear at the hearing, and to present information by proffer or otherwise. If the defendant testifies at the hearing, the defendant's testimony shall not be used against the defendant at trial except for impeachment purposes or in a subsequent prosecution for perjury.
- F. **Order at completion of evidentiary hearing.** At the completion of an evidentiary hearing, the court shall determine whether the defendant has violated a condition of release or whether revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice. The court may
 - (1) continue the existing conditions of release;
 - (2) set new or additional conditions of release in accordance with Rule 6-401
 - (3) revoke the defendant's release, if the court
 - (a) finds either
- (i) probable cause to believe that the defendant committed a federal, state, or local crime while on release; or
- (ii) clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has willfully violated any other condition of release; and

- (b) finds clear and convincing evidence that either
- (i) no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the defendant's compliance with the release conditions ordered by the court; or
- (ii) revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

An order revoking release shall include written findings of the individualized facts justifying revocation.

- G. **Evidence.** The New Mexico Rules of Evidence shall not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at any hearing under this rule.
- H. **Review of conditions.** If the magistrate court enters an order setting new or additional conditions of release and the defendant is detained or continues to be detained because of a failure to meet a condition imposed, or is subject to a requirement to return to custody after specified hours, the defendant may petition the district court for review in accordance with Rule 6-401(J) NMRA. The defendant may petition the district court immediately on the issuance of the magistrate court order and shall not be required to first seek review or reconsideration by the magistrate court. If, on disposition of the petition by the district court, the defendant is detained or continues to be detained because of a failure to meet a condition imposed, or is subject to a requirement to return to custody after specified hours, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA.
- I. **Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody.** The magistrate court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained pending trial. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than sixty (60) days. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.
- J. **Petition to district court for review of revocation order.** If the magistrate court issues an order revoking the defendant's release, the defendant may petition the district court for review under this paragraph and Rule 5-403(K) NMRA.
- (1) **Petition; requirements.** The petition shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
 - (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the magistrate court;
 - (b) serve a copy on the district attorney; and
 - (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (2) Magistrate court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of the petition, the magistrate court's jurisdiction to set or amend conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court. The magistrate court shall retain jurisdiction over all other aspects of the case, and the case shall proceed in the magistrate court while the petition is pending.
- (3) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner.
- (a) Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
 - (i) issue an order affirming the revocation order; or

- (ii) set a hearing to be held within ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly send a copy of the notice to the magistrate court.
- (b) If the district court holds a hearing on the petition, at the conclusion of the hearing the court shall issue either an order affirming the revocation order or an order setting conditions of release under Rule 5-401 NMRA.
- (4) **District court order; transmission to magistrate court.** The district court shall promptly send the order to the magistrate court, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the magistrate court.
- (5) *Appeal.* If the district court affirms the revocation order, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA. [As amended, effective September 1, 1990; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-044, effective December 31, 2008; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-024, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after February 1, 2019; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022.]

Committee commentary. — The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify the procedure for the court to follow when considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release for violating the conditions of release. In *State v. Segura*, 2014-NMCA-037, ¶¶ 1, 24-25, 321 P.3d 140, the Court of Appeals held that due process requires courts to afford the defendant notice and an opportunity to be heard before the court may revoke the defendant's bail and remand the defendant into custody. *See also Tijerina v. Baker*, 1968-NMSC-009, ¶ 9, 78 N.M. 770, 438 P.2d 514 (explaining that the right to bail is not absolute); *id.* ¶ 10 ("If the court has inherent power to revoke bail of a defendant during trial and pending final disposition of the criminal case in order to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice, the right to do so before trial seems to be equally apparent under a proper set of facts."); *State v. Rivera*, 2003-NMCA-059, ¶ 20, 133 N.M. 571, 66 P.3d 344 ("Conditions of release are separate, coercive powers of a court, apart from the bond itself. They are enforceable by immediate arrest, revocation, or modification if violated. Such conditions of release are intended to protect the public and keep the defendant in line."), *rev'd on other grounds*, 2004-NMSC-001, 134 N.M. 768, 82 P.3d 939.

As used in Paragraph D, a local detention center is one that is commonly used by the magistrate court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. See Rule 6-401 NMRA.

Paragraph G provides that the New Mexico Rules of Evidence do not apply at a revocation hearing, consistent with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial detention hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); State v. Ingram, 155 A.3d 597 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 2017) (holding that it is within the discretion of the detention hearing court to determine whether a pretrial detention order may be supported in an individual case by documentary evidence, proffer, one or more live witnesses, or other forms of information the court deems sufficient); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754

(E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into account in passing sentence."), *aff'd*, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir. 1983); *State v. Guthrie*, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence); *State v. Vigil*, 1982-NMCA-058, ¶ 24, 97 N.M. 749, 643 P.2d 618 (holding in a probation revocation hearing that hearsay untested for accuracy or reliability lacked probative value).

Paragraph I requires the magistrate court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody. See generally United States v. Salerno, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate due process, in part due to "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act," 18 U.S.C. § 3161); Am. Bar Ass'n, ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release, Standard 10-5.11 (3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limitations within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No.

, effective	
-------------	--

6-506. Time of commencement of trial.

A. Time limits for arraignment.

- (1) **Defendant not in custody.** A defendant who is not in custody shall be arraigned on the complaint or citation within thirty (30) days after the filing of the complaint or citation or the date of arrest, whichever is later. If the defendant fails to appear by the appearance date on a citation, the court shall issue a summons commanding the defendant to appear for arraignment within thirty (30) days of the initial appearance date on the citation.
- (2) **Defendant in custody.** A defendant who is in custody within this state shall be arraigned on the complaint or citation as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center.
- (3) Following dismissal or discharge of felony charges. If all felony charges against the defendant have been dismissed or discharged, and the only remaining charges are within magistrate court trial jurisdiction, the defendant shall be arraigned within thirty (30) days after the date of dismissal or discharge if the defendant is not in custody, or two (2) days after the date of dismissal or discharge if the defendant is in custody.
- B. **Time limits for commencement of trial.** The trial of a criminal citation or complaint shall be commenced within one hundred eighty-two (182) days after whichever of the following events occurs latest:

- (1) the date of arraignment or the filing of a waiver of arraignment of the defendant:
- (2) if an evaluation of competency has been ordered, the date an order or remand is filed in the magistrate court finding the defendant competent to stand trial;
- (3) if a mistrial is declared by the trial court, the date such order is filed in the magistrate court;
- (4) in the event of a remand from an appeal or request for extraordinary relief, the date the mandate or order is filed in the magistrate court disposing of the appeal or request for extraordinary relief;
- (5) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in this state for failure to appear, the date of arrest or surrender of the defendant;
- (6) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in another state or country for failure to appear, the date the defendant is returned to this state; or
- (7) if the defendant has been placed in a preprosecution diversion program, the date a notice is filed in the magistrate court that the preprosecution diversion program has been terminated for failure to comply with the terms, conditions, or requirements of the program.
- C. **Extension of time.** The time for commencement of trial may be extended by the court:
- (1) upon the filing of a written waiver of the provisions of this rule by the defendant and approval of the court;
- (2) upon motion of the defendant, for good cause shown, and approval of the court, for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph shall not exceed sixty (60) days;
- (3) upon stipulation of the parties and approval of the court, for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph shall not exceed sixty (60) days;
- (4) upon withdrawal of a plea or rejection of a plea for a period up to ninety (90) days;
- (5) upon a determination by the court that exceptional circumstances exist that were beyond the control of the state or the court that prevented the case from being heard within the time period and a written finding that the defendant would not be unfairly prejudiced, the court may grant further extensions that are necessary in the interests of justice; or
- (6) if defense counsel fails to appear for trial within a reasonable time, for a period not to exceed one hundred eighty-two (182) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph may not exceed one hundred eighty-two (182) days.
- D. **Time for filing motion.** A motion to extend the time period for commencement of trial under Paragraph C of this rule may be filed at any time within the applicable time limits or upon exceptional circumstances shown within ten (10) days after the expiration of the time period. At the request of either party, the court shall hold a hearing prior to the commencement of trial to determine whether an extension may be appropriately granted.

E. Effect of noncompliance with time limits.

(1) The court may deny an untimely petition for extension of time or may grant it and impose other sanctions or remedial measures, as the court may deem appropriate in the circumstances.

(2) In the event the trial of any person does not commence within the time limits provided in this rule, including any court-ordered extensions, the case shall be dismissed with prejudice.

[As amended, effective August 1, 1999; effective August 1, 2004; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 07-8300-025, effective November 1, 2007; by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-054, effective January 15, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-019, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 16-8300-002, effective for all cases filed on or after May 24, 2016; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order. No. , effective for all cases pending or filed on or after ...

Committee commentary. —

Exceptional circumstances. — "Exceptional circumstances," as used in this rule, would include conditions that are unusual or extraordinary, such as death or illness of the judge, prosecutor, or defense attorney immediately preceding the commencement of the trial; or other circumstances that ordinary experience or prudence would not foresee, anticipate, or provide for. The court may grant an extension for exceptional circumstances only if the court finds that the extension will not unfairly prejudice the defendant. The defendant may move the court to dismiss the case based on a particularized showing that the extension or impending extension would subject the defendant to oppressive pretrial incarceration, anxiety and concern, or the possibility that the defense will be impaired.

Constitutional right to speedy trial. — This rule is distinct from any speedy trial rights a defendant may have under the constitutions and laws of the United States and the State of New Mexico. *See State v. Urban*, 2004-NMSC-007, 135 N.M. 279, 87 P.3d 1061, for the factors to be considered.

Duty of prosecutor. — It is the continuing duty of the prosecutor to seek the commencement of trial within the time specified in this rule. It is the obligation of both parties to make a good faith effort to complete their separate discovery and to advise the court of noncompliance with Rule 6-504 NMRA.

Computation of time. — Time periods are computed under Rule 6-104 NMRA.

Paragraph A. — Paragraph A of this rule requires arraignment within thirty (30) days after the filing of the complaint or citation or the date of arrest, whichever is later. For defendants in custody, arraignment is required within three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local jail, or five (5) days after the date of arrest, if the defendant is being held in another jurisdiction. A failure to arraign the defendant within the time limitation will not result in a dismissal of the charge unless the defendant can show some prejudice due to the delay. "Local detention center" is defined as one that is commonly used by the magistrate court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. See Rule 6-401 NMRA.

Paragraph B. — A violation of Paragraph B of this rule can result in a dismissal with prejudice under Paragraph E of this rule. *See also State v. Lopez*, ¶ 3, 1976-NMSC-012, 89 N.M. 82, 547 P.2d 565. However, the rules do not create a jurisdictional barrier to prosecution. The defendant must raise the issue and seek dismissal. *See State v. Vigil*, 1973-NMCA-089, ¶ 28, 85 N.M. 328, 512 P.2d 88. If the state in good faith files a nolle prosequi under Rule 6-506.1(C) and (D) NMRA and later files the same charge, the trial on the refiled charges shall be commenced

within the unexpired time for trial under Rule 6-506 NMRA, unless, under Rule 6-506.1(D) NMRA, the court finds the refiled complaint should not be treated as a continuation of the same case.

6-802. Return of the probation violator.

- A **Probation.** The court shall have the power to suspend or defer a sentence and impose conditions of probation during the period of suspension or deferral.
- B. **Violation of probation.** At any time during probation if it appears that the probationer may have violated the conditions of probation
- (1) the court may issue a warrant or bench warrant for the arrest of a probationer for violation of any of the conditions of probation. The warrant shall order the probationer to the custody of the court or to any suitable detention facility;
 - (2) the court may issue a notice to appear to answer a charge of violation.

C. Initial hearing.

- (1) **Probationer not in custody.** A probationer who is not in custody shall be noticed to appear not more than fifteen (15) days after the filing of a probation violation or, if no violation is filed, not more than fifteen (15) days after the court has reason to believe that the probationer may have violated the conditions of probation.
- (2) **Probationer in custody**. A probationer who is in custody within this state shall be arraigned on the probation violation as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than three (3) days after the probationer is detained if the probationer is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the probationer is detained if the probationer is not being held in the local detention center.
- D. Adjudicatory hearing. On notice to the probationer, the court shall hold a hearing on the violation charged. If the probationer is in custody the hearing shall be held as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than ten (10) days after the initial hearing. If the probationer is not in custody the hearing shall be held no later than thirty (30) days after the initial hearing. If the violation is established, the court may continue the original probation, revoke the probation, and either order a new probation or require the probationer to serve the balance of the sentence imposed or any lesser sentence. If imposition of sentence was deferred, the court may impose any sentence which might originally have been imposed, but credit shall be given for time served on probation, unless that credit is specifically prohibited by statute.
- E. **Appeals.** The decision of the court to revoke probation may be appealed to the district court as otherwise provided in these rules. The only issue the district court will address on appeal will be the propriety of the revocation of probation. The district court shall not modify the sentence of the magistrate court.

[As amended, effective September 1, 1989; May 1, 2002; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-007, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after May 5, 2013; as amended by

Supreme Court Order No. 21-8300-027, effe-	ctive for all case	es pending or filed on o	or after
December 31, 2021; as amended by Supreme	Court Order	, effective for a	ll cases
pending or filed on or after			
Committee commentary — "Local det	tention center" is	defined as one that is con	nmonly
used by the magistrate court in the normal co	ourse of business	and not necessarily wit	hin the
territorial jurisdiction of the court. See Rule 6-4	01 NMRA.	-	
[Adopted by Supreme Court Order	, effective for	all cases pending or file	d on or
after .]		* 	

7-401. Pretrial release.

A. Hearing.

- (1) *Time.* The court shall conduct a hearing under this rule and issue an order setting conditions of release as soon as practicable, but in no event later than
- (a) if the defendant remains in custody, three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or five (5) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center; or
 - (b) first appearance or arraignment, if the defendant is not in custody.
- (2) **Right to counsel.** If the defendant does not have counsel at the initial release conditions hearing and is not ordered released at the hearing, the matter shall be continued for no longer than three (3) additional days for a further hearing to review conditions of release, at which the defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel.
- (3) Local detention center; defined. A local detention center is one that is commonly used by the metropolitan court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court.
- B. Right to pretrial release; recognizance or unsecured appearance bond. Pending trial, any defendant eligible for pretrial release under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution shall be ordered released pending trial on the defendant's personal recognizance or on the execution of an unsecured appearance bond in an amount set by the court, unless the court makes written findings of particularized reasons why the release will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required. The court may impose non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, but the court shall impose the least restrictive condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person or the community.
- C. Factors to be considered in determining conditions of release. In determining the least restrictive conditions of release that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community, the court shall consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant. In addition, the court may take into account the available information about
- (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves alcohol or drugs;
 - (2) the weight of the evidence against the defendant;
 - (3) the history and characteristics of the defendant, including
- (a) the defendant's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, past and present residences, length of residence in the community, community

ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record about appearance at court proceedings; and

- (b) whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, or appeal for any offense under federal, state, or local law;
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the defendant's release;
- (5) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required; and
- (6) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not commit new crimes if released.
- D. **Non-monetary conditions of release.** In its order setting conditions of release, the court shall impose a standard condition that the defendant not commit a federal, state, or local crime during the period of release. The court may also impose the least restrictive particularized condition, or combination of particularized conditions, that the court finds will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the safety of any other person and the community, and the orderly administration of justice, which may include the condition that the defendant
- (1) remain in the custody of a designated person who agrees to assume supervision and to report any violation of a release condition to the court, if the designated person is able reasonably to assure the court that the defendant will appear as required and will not pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community;
 - (2) maintain employment, or, if unemployed, actively seek employment;
 - (3) maintain or commence an educational program;
- (4) abide by specified restrictions on personal associations, place of abode, or travel;
- (5) avoid all contact with an alleged victim of the crime or with a potential witness who may testify about the offense;
- (6) report on a regular basis to a designated pretrial services agency or other agency agreeing to supervise the defendant;
 - (7) comply with a specified curfew;
- (8) refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon;
- (9) from any use of alcohol or any use of an illegal drug or other controlled substance without a prescription by a licensed medical practitioner;
- (10) refrain from any use of cannabis, cannabis products, or synthetic cannabinoids without a certification from a licensed medical practitioner;
- (11) undergo available medical, psychological, or psychiatric treatment, including treatment for drug or alcohol dependency, and remain in a specified institution if required for that purpose;
- (12) submit to a drug test or an alcohol test on request of a person designated by the court:
- (13) return to custody for specified hours after release for employment, schooling, or other limited purposes; and
- (14) satisfy any other condition that is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community.

E. **Secured bond.** If the court makes written findings of the particularized reasons why release on personal recognizance or unsecured appearance bond, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the court may require a secured bond for the defendant's release.

(1) Factors to be considered in setting secured bond.

- (a) In determining whether any secured bond is necessary, the court may consider any facts tending to indicate that the particular defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required.
- (b) The court shall set secured bond at the lowest amount necessary to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance and with regard to the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond.
- (c) The court shall not set a secured bond that a defendant cannot afford for the purpose of detaining a defendant who is otherwise eligible for pretrial release.
- (d) Secured bond shall not be set by reference to a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge.
- (2) **Types of secured bond.** If a secured bond is determined necessary in a particular case, the court shall impose the first of the following types of secured bond that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant.
- (a) Percentage bond. The court may require a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by a deposit in cash of ten percent (10%) of the amount specified. The deposit may be returned as provided in Paragraph L of this rule.
- (b) *Property bond.* The court may require the execution of a property bond by the defendant or by unpaid sureties in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by the pledging of real property in accordance with Rule 7-401.1 NMRA.
- (c) Cash or surety bond. The court may give the defendant the option of either
- (i) a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by a deposit in cash of one hundred percent (100%) of the amount specified, which may be returned as provided in Paragraph L of this rule, or
- (ii) a surety bond executed by licensed sureties in accordance with Rule 7-401.2 NMRA for one hundred percent (100%) of the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release.

F. Order setting conditions of release; findings about secured bond.

- (1) Contents of order setting conditions of release. The order setting conditions of release shall
- (a) include a written statement that sets forth all the conditions to which the release is subject, in a manner sufficiently clear and specific to serve as a guide for the defendant's conduct; and
 - (b) advise the defendant of
- (i) the penalties for violating a condition of release, including the penalties for committing an offense while on pretrial release;

- (ii) the consequences for violating a condition of release, including the immediate issuance of a warrant for the defendant's arrest, revocation of pretrial release, and forfeiture of bond; and
- (iii) the consequences of intimidating a witness, victim, or informant, or otherwise obstructing justice.
- (2) Written findings about secured bond. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond, if any, as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.
- G. **Pretrial detention.** If the prosecutor files a motion for pretrial detention, the court shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 7-409 NMRA.

H. Motion for review of conditions of release by the metropolitan court.

- (1) **Motion for review.** If the metropolitan court requires a secured bond for the defendant's release under Paragraph E of this rule or imposes non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, and the defendant remains in custody twenty-four (24) hours after the issuance of the order setting conditions of release as a result of the defendant's inability to post the secured bond or meet the conditions of release in the present case, the defendant shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions of release.
- (2) **Review hearing.** The metropolitan court shall hold a hearing in an expedited manner, but in no event later than five (5) days after the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing. Unless the order setting conditions of release is amended and the defendant is then released, the court shall file a written order setting forth the reasons for declining to amend the order setting conditions of release. The court shall consider the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond. No defendant eligible for pretrial release under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution shall be detained solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond unless the court determines by clear and convincing evidence and makes findings of the reasons why the amount of secured bond required by the court is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the particular defendant as required. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.
- (3) Work or school release. A defendant who is ordered released on a condition that requires that the defendant return to custody after specified hours shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions imposed. Unless the requirement is removed and the defendant is released on another condition, the court shall file a written order setting forth the reason for the continuation of the requirement. A hearing to review conditions of release under this subparagraph shall be held by the metropolitan court within five (5) days of the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing.
- (4) **Subsequent motion for review.** The defendant may file subsequent motions for review of the order setting conditions of release, but the court may rule on subsequent motions with or without a hearing.
- I. Amendment of conditions. The court may amend its order setting conditions of release at any time. If the amendment of the order may result in the detention of the defendant or in more restrictive conditions of release, the court shall not amend the order without a hearing. If the court is considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the

defendant's conditions of release for violating a condition of release, the court shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 7-403 NMRA.

J. Petition to district court.

- (1) Case within metropolitan court trial jurisdiction. A defendant charged with an offense that is within metropolitan court trial jurisdiction may file a petition in the district court for review of the metropolitan court's order setting conditions of release under this paragraph only after the metropolitan court has ruled on a motion to review the conditions of release under Paragraph H of this rule. The defendant shall attach to the district court petition a copy of the metropolitan court order disposing of the defendant's motion for review.
- (2) *Felony case.* A defendant charged with a felony offense who has not been bound over to the district court may file a petition in the district court for release under Rule 5-401(K) NMRA and this paragraph at any time after the defendant's arrest.
- (3) **Petition; requirements.** A petition to the district court under this paragraph shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
 - (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the metropolitan court,
 - (b) serve a copy on the district attorney, and
 - (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (4) Metropolitan court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of a petition under this paragraph, the metropolitan court's jurisdiction to set or amend the conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court. The metropolitan court shall retain jurisdiction over all other aspects of the case, and the case shall proceed in the metropolitan court while the district court petition is pending. The metropolitan court's order setting conditions of release, if any, shall remain in effect unless and until the district court issues an order amending the conditions of release.
- (5) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner. Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
- (a) set a hearing no later than ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly send a copy of the notice to the metropolitan court;
 - (b) deny the petition summarily; or
 - (c) amend the order setting conditions of release without a hearing.
- (6) **District court order; transmission to metropolitan court.** The district court shall promptly send to the metropolitan court a copy of the district court order disposing of the petition, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the metropolitan court.
- K. Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody. The metropolitan court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained as a result of inability to post a secured bond or meet the conditions of release. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than sixty (60) days. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.
- L. **Return of cash deposit.** If a defendant has been released by executing a secured appearance bond and depositing a cash deposit under Paragraph E of this rule, when the conditions of the appearance bond have been performed and the defendant's case has been adjudicated by the

court, the clerk shall return the sum that has been deposited to the person who deposited the sum, or that person's personal representatives or assigns.

- M. Release from custody by designee. The chief judge of the metropolitan court may designate by written court order responsible persons to implement the pretrial release procedures set forth in Rule 7-408 NMRA. A designee shall release a defendant from custody before the defendant's first appearance before a judge if the defendant is eligible for pretrial release under Rule 7-408 NMRA, but may contact a judge for special consideration based on exceptional circumstances. No person shall be qualified to serve as a designee if the person or the person's spouse is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety who is licensed to sell property or corporate bonds within this state.
- N. **Bind over to district court.** For any case that is not within metropolitan court trial jurisdiction, on notice to the metropolitan court, any bond shall be transferred to the district court on the filing of an information or indictment in the district court.
- O. **Evidence.** Information offered in connection with or stated in any proceeding held or order entered under this rule need not conform to the New Mexico Rules of Evidence.
- P. **Forms.** Instruments required by this rule, including any order setting conditions of release, appearance bond, property bond, or surety bond, shall be substantially in the form approved by the Supreme Court.
- Q. **Judicial discretion; disqualification and excusal.** Action by any court on any matter relating to pretrial release shall not preclude the subsequent statutory disqualification of a judge. A judge may not be excused from setting initial conditions of release unless the judge is required to recuse under the provisions of the New Mexico Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct.

[As amended, effective August 1, 1987; October 1, 1987; September 1, 1990; December 1, 1990; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-059, effective February 2, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. ______, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after ______, effective

Committee commentary. — This rule provides "the mechanism through which a person may effectuate the right to pretrial release afforded by Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution." *State v. Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 37, 338 P.3d 1276. In 2016, Article II, Section 13 was amended (1) to permit a court of record to order the detention of a felony defendant pending trial if the prosecutor proves by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a danger to the safety of any other person or the community and that no release condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the safety of any other person or the community, and (2) to require the pretrial release of a defendant who is in custody solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond. This rule was derived from the federal statute governing the release or detention of a defendant pending trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3142. This rule was amended in 2017 to implement the 2016 amendment to Article II, Section 13 and the Supreme Court's holding in *Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038. Corresponding rules are located in the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts, *see* Rule 5-401 NMRA, the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Magistrate Courts, *see* Rule 6-401 NMRA, and the Rules of Procedure for the Municipal Courts, *see* Rule 8-401 NMRA.

Time periods specified in this rule are computed in accordance with Rule 7-104 NMRA.

Just as assistance of counsel is required at a detention hearing under Rule 5-409 NMRA that may result in a denial of pretrial release based on dangerousness, Subparagraphs (A)(2), (H)(2), and (H)(3) of this rule provide that assistance of counsel is required in a proceeding that may result in denial of pretrial release based on reasons that do not involve dangerousness, such as a simple inability to meet a financial condition.

As set forth in Paragraph B, a defendant is entitled to release on personal recognizance or unsecured bond unless the court determines that the release, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of any other person or the community.

Paragraph C lists the factors the court should consider when determining conditions of release. In all cases, the court is required to consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant.

Paragraph D lists various non-monetary conditions of release. The court must impose the least restrictive condition, or combination of conditions, that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community. *See Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶¶ 1, 37, 39. If the defendant has previously been released on standard conditions before a court appearance, the judge should review the conditions at the defendant's first appearance to determine whether any particularized conditions should be imposed under the circumstances of the case. Paragraph D also permits the court to impose non-monetary conditions of release to ensure the orderly administration of justice. This provision was derived from the American Bar Association, *ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release*, Standard 10-5.2 (3d ed. 2007). Some conditions of release may have a cost associated with the condition. The court should make a determination about whether the defendant can afford to pay all or a part of the cost, or whether the court has the authority to waive the cost, because detaining a defendant because of financial inability to post a secured bond.

As set forth in Paragraph E, the only purpose for which the court may impose a secured bond is to ensure that the defendant will appear for trial and other pretrial proceedings for which the defendant must be present. *See State v. Ericksons*, 1987-NMSC-108, ¶ 6, 106 N.M. 567, 746 P.2d 1099 ("[T]he purpose of bail is to secure the defendant's attendance to submit to the punishment to be imposed by the court."); *see also* NMSA 1978, § 31-3-2(B)(2) (1993) (authorizing the forfeiture of bond on the defendant's failure to appear).

The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify that the amount of secured bond must not be based on a bond schedule, i.e., a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge. Instead, the court must consider the individual defendant's financial resources and must set secured bond at the lowest amount that will reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance in court after the defendant is released.

Secured bond cannot be used for the purpose of detaining a defendant who may pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. *See Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 53 ("Neither the New Mexico Constitution nor our rules of criminal procedure permit a judge to set high bail for the purpose of preventing a defendant's pretrial release."); *see also Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951) (stating that secured bond set higher than the amount reasonably calculated to ensure the defendant's appearance in court "is 'excessive' under the Eighth Amendment"). A felony defendant who poses a danger that cannot be mitigated through the imposition of non-

monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule should be detained under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution and Rule 5-409 NMRA.

The court should consider the authorized types of secured bonds in the order of priority set forth in Paragraph E. The court must first consider requiring an appearance bond secured by a cash deposit of ten percent (10%). No other percentage is permitted under the rule. If a cash deposit of ten percent (10%) is inadequate, the court then must consider a property bond involving property that belongs to the defendant or other unpaid surety. If neither of these options is sufficient to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance, the court may require a cash or surety bond for the defendant's release. If the court requires a cash or surety bond, the defendant has the option either to execute an appearance bond and deposit one hundred percent (100%) of the amount of the bond with the court or to purchase a bond from a paid surety. Under Subparagraph (E)(2)(c), the defendant alone has the choice to post the bond by a one hundred percent (100%) cash deposit or a surety. The court does not have the option to set a cash-only bond or a surety-only bond; it must give the defendant the choice of either. A paid surety may execute a surety bond or a real or personal property bond only if the conditions of Rule 7-401.2 NMRA are met.

Paragraph F governs the contents of an order setting conditions of release. See Form 9-303 NMRA (order setting conditions of release). Although pretrial release hearings are not required to be a matter of record in the metropolitan court, Paragraph F requires the court to make written findings justifying the imposition of a secured bond, if any. Judges are encouraged to enter their written findings on the order setting conditions of release at the conclusion of the hearing. If more detailed findings are necessary, the judge should make any supplemental findings in a separate document within two (2) days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Paragraph G addresses pretrial detention of a dangerous defendant under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution. If the defendant poses a danger to the safety of any other person or the community that cannot be addressed through the imposition of non-monetary conditions of release, the prosecutor may file a motion for pretrial detention. If the prosecutor files a motion for pretrial detention, the metropolitan court must follow the procedures set forth in Rule 7-409 NMRA.

Paragraph H sets forth the procedure for the defendant to file a motion in the metropolitan court for review of the conditions of release. Paragraph J sets forth the procedure for the defendant to petition the district court for release or for review of the conditions of release set by the metropolitan court. Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution requires the court to rule on a motion or petition for pretrial release "in an expedited manner" and to release a defendant who is being held solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond. A defendant who wishes to present financial information to a court to support a motion or a petition for pretrial release may present Form 9-301A NMRA (pretrial release financial affidavit) to the court. The defendant shall be entitled to appear and participate personally with counsel before the judge conducting any hearing to review the conditions of release, rather than by any means of remote electronic conferencing.

Paragraph K requires the metropolitan court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody because of inability to post bond or meet the conditions of release. *See generally United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate due process, in part because of "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act," 18 U.S.C. § 3161); Am. Bar Ass'n, *ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release*, Standard 10-5.11

(3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limits within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

Under NMSA 1978, Section 31-3-1 (1972), the court may appoint a designee to carry out the provisions of this rule. As set forth in Paragraph M, a designee must be designated by the chief metropolitan court judge in a written court order. A person may not be appointed as a designee if that person is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety licensed in this state to execute bail bonds. A jailer may be appointed as a designee. Paragraph M and Rule 7-408 NMRA govern the limited circumstances under which a designee shall release an arrested defendant from custody before that defendant's first appearance before a judge.

Paragraph N requires the metropolitan court to transfer any bond to the district court on notice from the district attorney that an information or indictment has been filed. *See* Rule 7-202(E)-(F) NMRA (requiring the district attorney to notify the metropolitan court of the filing of an information or indictment in the district court).

Paragraph O of this rule dovetails with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. Both provide that the Rules of Evidence are not applicable to proceedings in the metropolitan court with respect to matters of pretrial release. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial release hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754 (E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into account in passing sentence."), aff'd, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir. 1983); State v. Guthrie, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence).

Consistent with Rule 7-106 NMRA, a party cannot exercise the statutory right to excuse a judge who is setting initial conditions of release. *See* NMSA 1978, § 35-3-7 (1983). Paragraph Q of this rule does not prevent a judge from filing a recusal either on the court's own motion or motion of a party. *See* N.M. Const. art. VI, § 18; Rule 21-211 NMRA.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-059, effective February 2, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022.]

7-403. Revocation or modification of release orders

- A. **Scope.** In accordance with this rule, the court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release
 - (1) if the defendant is alleged to have violated a condition of release; or

(2) to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

B. Motion for revocation or modification of conditions of release.

- (1) The court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release on motion of the prosecutor or on the court's own motion.
- (2) The defendant may file a response to the motion, but the filing of a response shall not delay any hearing under Paragraph D or E of this rule.
- C. **Issuance of summons or bench warrant.** If the court does not deny the motion on the pleadings, the court shall issue a summons and notice of hearing, unless the court finds that the interests of justice may be better served by the issuance of a bench warrant. The summons or bench warrant shall include notice of the reasons for the review of the pretrial release decision.

D. Initial hearing.

- (1) The court shall hold an initial hearing as soon as practicable, but if the defendant is in custody, the hearing shall be held no later than three (3) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center.
- (2) At the initial hearing, the court may continue the existing conditions of release, set different conditions of release, or propose revocation of release.
- (3) If the court proposes revocation of release, the court shall schedule an evidentiary hearing under Paragraph E of this rule, unless waived by the defendant.

E. Evidentiary hearing.

NMRA; or

- (1) **Time.** The evidentiary hearing shall be held as soon as practicable. If the defendant is in custody, the evidentiary hearing shall be held no later than seven (7) days after the initial hearing.
- (2) **Defendant's rights.** The defendant has the right to be present and to be represented by counsel and, if financially unable to obtain counsel, to have counsel appointed. The defendant shall be afforded an opportunity to testify, to present witnesses, to compel the attendance of witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses who appear at the hearing, and to present information by proffer or otherwise. If the defendant testifies at the hearing, the defendant's testimony shall not be used against the defendant at trial except for impeachment purposes or in a subsequent prosecution for perjury.
- F. **Order at completion of evidentiary hearing.** At the completion of an evidentiary hearing, the court shall determine whether the defendant has violated a condition of release or whether revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice. The court may
 - (1) continue the existing conditions of release;
 - (2) set new or additional conditions of release in accordance with Rule 7-401
 - (3) revoke the defendant's release, if the court
 - (a) finds either
- (i) probable cause to believe that the defendant committed a federal, state, or local crime while on release; or
- (ii) clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has willfully violated any other condition of release; and

- (b) finds clear and convincing evidence that either
- (i) no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the defendant's compliance with the release conditions ordered by the court; or
- (ii) revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

An order revoking release shall include written findings of the individualized facts justifying revocation.

- G. **Evidence.** The New Mexico Rules of Evidence shall not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at any hearing under this rule.
- H. **Review of conditions.** If the metropolitan court enters an order setting new or additional conditions of release and the defendant is detained or continues to be detained because of a failure to meet a condition imposed, or is subject to a requirement to return to custody after specified hours, the defendant may petition the district court for review in accordance with Rule 7-401(J) NMRA. The defendant may petition the district court immediately on the issuance of the metropolitan court order and shall not be required to first seek review or reconsideration by the metropolitan court. If, on disposition of the petition by the district court, the defendant is detained or continues to be detained because of a failure to meet a condition imposed, or is subject to a requirement to return to custody after specified hours, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA.
- I. **Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody.** The metropolitan court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained pending trial. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than sixty (60) days. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.
- J. **Petition to district court for review of revocation order.** If the metropolitan court issues an order revoking the defendant's release, the defendant may petition the district court for review under this paragraph and Rule 5-403(K) NMRA.
- (1) **Petition; requirements.** The petition shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
 - (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the metropolitan court;
 - (b) serve a copy on the district attorney; and
 - (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (2) Metropolitan court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of the petition, the metropolitan court's jurisdiction to set or amend conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court. The metropolitan court shall retain jurisdiction over all other aspects of the case, and the case shall proceed in the metropolitan court while the petition is pending.
- (3) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner.
- (a) Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
 - (i) issue an order affirming the revocation order; or

- (ii) set a hearing to be held within ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly send a copy of the notice to the metropolitan court.
- (b) If the district court holds a hearing on the petition, at the conclusion of the hearing the court shall issue either an order affirming the revocation order or an order setting conditions of release under Rule 5-401 NMRA.
- (4) **District court order; transmission to metropolitan court.** The district court shall promptly send the order to the metropolitan court, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the metropolitan court.
- (5) Appeal. If the district court affirms the revocation order, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA.

 [As amended, effective September 1, 1990; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-024, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after February 1, 2019; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022.]

Committee commentary. — The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify the procedure for the court to follow when considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release for violating the conditions of release. In *State v. Segura*, 2014-NMCA-037, ¶¶ 1, 24-25, 321 P.3d 140, the Court of Appeals held that due process requires courts to afford the defendant notice and an opportunity to be heard before the court may revoke the defendant's bail and remand the defendant into custody. *See also Tijerina v. Baker*, 1968-NMSC-009, ¶ 9, 78 N.M. 770, 438 P.2d 514 (explaining that the right to bail is not absolute); *id.* ¶ 10 ("If the court has inherent power to revoke bail of a defendant during trial and pending final disposition of the criminal case in order to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice, the right to do so before trial seems to be equally apparent under a proper set of facts."); *State v. Rivera*, 2003-NMCA-059, ¶ 20, 133 N.M. 571, 66 P.3d 344 ("Conditions of release are separate, coercive powers of a court, apart from the bond itself. They are enforceable by immediate arrest, revocation, or modification if violated. Such conditions of release are intended to protect the public and keep the defendant in line."), *rev'd on other grounds*, 2004-NMSC-001, 134 N.M. 768, 82 P.3d 939.

As used in Paragraph D, a local detention center is one that is commonly used by the metropolitan court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. *See* Rule 7-401 NMRA.

Paragraph G provides that the New Mexico Rules of Evidence do not apply at a revocation hearing, consistent with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial detention hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); State v. Ingram, 155 A.3d 597 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 2017) (holding that it is within the discretion of the detention hearing court to determine whether a pretrial detention order may be supported in an individual case by documentary evidence, proffer, one or more live witnesses, or other forms of information the court deems sufficient); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754 (E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient

indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into account in passing sentence."), *aff'd*, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir. 1983); *State v. Guthrie*, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence); *State v. Vigil*, 1982-NMCA-058, ¶ 24, 97 N.M. 749, 643 P.2d 618 (holding in a probation revocation hearing that hearsay untested for accuracy or reliability lacked probative value).

Paragraph I requires the metropolitan court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody. *See generally United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate due process, in part due to "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act," 18 U.S.C. § 3161); Am. Bar Ass'n, *ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release*, Standard 10-5.11 (3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limitations within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. ______, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after ______.]

7-506. Time of commencement of trial.

A. Time limits for arraignment.

- (1) **Defendant not in custody.** A defendant who is not in custody shall be arraigned on the complaint or citation within thirty (30) days after the filing of the complaint or citation or the date of arrest, whichever is later.
- (2) **Defendant in custody.** A defendant who is in custody within this state shall be arraigned on the complaint or citation as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center.
- (3) Following dismissal or discharge of felony charges. If all felony charges against the defendant have been dismissed or discharged, and the only remaining charges are within metropolitan court trial jurisdiction, the defendant shall be arraigned within thirty (30) days after the date of dismissal or discharge if the defendant is not in custody or two (2) days after the date of dismissal or discharge if the defendant is in custody.
- B. **Time limits for commencement of trial.** The trial of a criminal citation or complaint shall be commenced within one hundred eighty-two (182) days after whichever of the following events occurs latest:
- (1) the date of arraignment or the filing of a waiver of arraignment of the defendant;

- (2) if an evaluation of competency has been ordered, the date an order is filed in the metropolitan court finding the defendant competent to stand trial;
- (3) if a mistrial is declared by the trial court, the date such order is filed in the metropolitan court;
- (4) in the event of a remand from an appeal, the date the mandate or order is filed in the metropolitan court disposing of the appeal;
- (5) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in this state for failure to appear, the date of arrest or surrender of the defendant;
- (6) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in another state or country for failure to appear, the date the defendant is returned to this state; or
- (7) if the defendant has been referred to a preprosecution or court diversion program, the date a notice is filed in the metropolitan court that the defendant has been deemed not eligible for, is terminated from, or is otherwise removed from the preprosecution or court diversion program.
- C. **Extension of time.** The time for commencement of trial may be extended by the court:
- (1) upon the filing of a written waiver of the provisions of this rule by the defendant and approval of the court;
- (2) upon motion of the defendant, for good cause shown, and approval of the court, for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph shall not exceed sixty (60) days;
- (3) upon stipulation of the parties and approval of the court, for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph shall not exceed sixty (60) days;
- (4) upon withdrawal of a plea or rejection of a plea for a period up to sixty (60) days; or
- (5) upon a determination by the court that exceptional circumstances exist that were beyond the control of the state or the court that prevented the case from being heard within the time period and a finding, either on the record or in writing, that the defendant would not be unfairly prejudiced, the court may grant further extensions that are necessary in the interests of justice.
- D. **Time for filing motion.** A motion to extend the time period for commencement of trial granted under Subparagraph (C)(5) of this rule may be filed at any time within the applicable time limits or upon exceptional circumstances shown within ten (10) days after the expiration of the time period. At the request of either party, the court shall hold a hearing prior to the commencement of trial to determine whether an extension may be appropriately granted.

E. Effect of noncompliance with time limits.

- (1) The court may deny an untimely petition for extension of time or may grant it and impose other sanctions or remedial measures, as the court may deem appropriate in the circumstances.
- (2) In the event the trial of any person does not commence within the time limits provided in this rule, including any court-ordered extensions, the case shall be dismissed with prejudice.

[As amended, effective August 1, 1999; August 1, 2004; as amended by Supreme Court Orders No. 08-8300-051 and No. 08-8300-053, effective January 15, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court

Order No. 13-8300-019, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 16-8300-002, effective for all cases filed on or after May 24, 2016; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order. No. , effective for all cases pending or filed on or after .]

Committee commentary. —

Exceptional circumstances. — "Exceptional circumstances," as used in this rule, would include conditions that are unusual or extraordinary, such as death or illness of the judge, prosecutor, or defense attorney immediately preceding the commencement of the trial; or other circumstances that ordinary experience or prudence would not foresee, anticipate, or provide for. The court may grant an extension for exceptional circumstances only if the court finds that the extension will not unfairly prejudice the defendant. The defendant may move the court to dismiss the case based on a particularized showing that the extension or impending extension would subject the defendant to oppressive pretrial incarceration, anxiety and concern, or the possibility that the defense will be impaired.

Speedy trial. — This rule is distinct from any speedy trial rights a defendant may have under the constitutions and laws of the United States and the State of New Mexico.

Duty of prosecutor. — It is the continuing duty of the prosecutor to seek the commencement of trial within the time specified in this rule.

<u>Local detention center.</u>—"Local detention center" is defined as one that is commonly used by the metropolitan court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. *See* Rule 7-401 NMRA.

[As amended by Supreme Court Order No. 16-8300-002, effective for all cases filed on or after May 24, 2016; as amended by Supreme Court Order. No. _______, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after ______.]

7-802. Return of the probation violator.

- A. **Probation.** The court shall have the power to suspend or defer a sentence and impose conditions of probation during the period of suspension or deferral.
- B. **Violation of probation.** At any time during probation if it appears that the probationer may have violated the conditions of probation
- (1) the court may issue a warrant or bench warrant for the arrest of a probationer for violation of any of the conditions of probation. The warrant shall order the probationer to the custody of the court or to any suitable detention facility;
 - (2) the court may issue a notice to appear to answer a charge of violation.

C. Initial hearing.

- (1) **Probationer not in custody.** A probationer who is not in custody shall be noticed to appear not more than fifteen (15) days after the filing of a probation violation or, if no violation is filed, not more than fifteen (15) days after the court has reason to believe that the probationer may have violated the conditions of probation.
- (2) **Probationer in custody.** A probationer who is in custody within this state shall be arraigned on the probation violation as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than three (3) days after the probationer is detained if the probationer is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the probationer is detained if the probationer is not being held in the local detention center.

- D. Adjudicatory hearing. On notice to the probationer, the court shall hold a hearing on the violation charged. If the probationer is in custody the hearing shall be held as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than ten (10) days after the initial hearing. If the probationer is not in custody the hearing shall be held no later than thirty (30) days after the initial hearing. If the violation is established, the court may continue the original probation, revoke the probation, and either order a new probation or require the probationer to serve the balance of the sentence imposed or any lesser sentence. Unless otherwise provided by law, if imposition of sentence was deferred, the court may impose any sentence which might originally have been imposed, but credit shall be given for time served on probation.
- E. **Appeals.** The decision of the court to revoke probation may be appealed to the district court as otherwise provided in these rules. The only issue the district court will address on appeal will be the propriety of the revocation of probation. The district court shall not modify the sentence of the metropolitan court.

[As amended, effective September 1, 1989; May 1, 2002; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-006, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after May 5, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 21-8300-027, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2021; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. , effective for all cases pending or filed on or after .]

Committee commentary. — Rule 7-802 NMRA was amended in 2013 to resolve a conflict with the following statutes: NMSA 1978, Sections 30-3-15 (battery on household member); 30-3-16 (aggravated battery on household member); and 66-8-102(T) (driving under the influence).

"Local detention center" is defined as one that is commonly used by the metropolitan court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. See Rule 7-401 NMRA.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-006, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after May 5, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. _______, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after ______.]

8-401. Pretrial release.

A. Hearing.

- (1) *Time.* The court shall conduct a hearing under this rule and issue an order setting conditions of release as soon as practicable, but in no event later than
- (a) if the defendant remains in custody, three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or five (5) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center; or
 - (b) arraignment, if the defendant is not in custody.
- (2) **Right to counsel.** If the defendant does not have counsel at the initial release conditions hearing and is not ordered released at the hearing, the matter shall be continued for no longer than three (3) additional days for a further hearing to review conditions of release, at which the defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel.
- (3) Local detention center; defined. A local detention center is one that is commonly used by the municipal court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court.

- B. Right to pretrial release; recognizance or unsecured appearance bond. Pending trial, the defendant shall be ordered released pending trial on the defendant's personal recognizance or on the execution of an unsecured appearance bond in an amount set by the court, unless the court makes written findings of particularized reasons why the release will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required. The court may impose non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, but the court shall impose the least restrictive condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person or the community.
- C. Factors to be considered in determining conditions of release. In determining the least restrictive conditions of release that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community, the court shall consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant. In addition, the court may take into account the available information about
- (1) the nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves alcohol or drugs;
 - (2) the weight of the evidence against the defendant;
 - (3) the history and characteristics of the defendant, including
- (a) the defendant's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, past and present residences, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record about appearance at court proceedings; and
- (b) whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, or appeal for any offense under federal, state, or local law;
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the defendant's release;
- (5) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required; and
- (6) any other facts tending to indicate the defendant may or may not commit new crimes if released.
- D. **Non-monetary conditions of release.** In its order setting conditions of release, the court shall impose a standard condition that the defendant not commit a federal, state, or local crime during the period of release. The court may also impose the least restrictive particularized condition, or combination of particularized conditions, that the court finds will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the safety of any other person and the community, and the orderly administration of justice, which may include the condition that the defendant
- (1) remain in the custody of a designated person who agrees to assume supervision and to report any violation of a release condition to the court, if the designated person is able reasonably to assure the court that the defendant will appear as required and will not pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community;
 - (2) maintain employment, or, if unemployed, actively seek employment;
 - (3) maintain or commence an educational program;
- (4) abide by specified restrictions on personal associations, place of abode, or travel:

- (5) avoid all contact with an alleged victim of the crime or with a potential witness who may testify about the offense;
- (6) report on a regular basis to a designated pretrial services agency or other agency agreeing to supervise the defendant;
 - (7) comply with a specified curfew;
- (8) refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon;
- (9) refrain from any use of alcohol or any use of an illegal drug or other controlled substance without a prescription by a licensed medical practitioner;
- (10) refrain from any use of cannabis, cannabis products, or synthetic cannabinoids without a certification from a licensed medical practitioner;
- (11) undergo available medical, psychological, or psychiatric treatment, including treatment for drug or alcohol dependency, and remain in a specified institution if required for that purpose;
- (12) submit to a drug test or an alcohol test on request of a person designated by the court;
- (13) return to custody for specified hours after release for employment, schooling, or other limited purposes; and
- (14) satisfy any other condition that is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community.
- E. **Secured bond.** If the court makes written findings of the particularized reasons why release on personal recognizance or unsecured appearance bond, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required, the court may require a secured bond for the defendant's release.

(1) Factors to be considered in setting secured bond.

- (a) In determining whether any secured bond is necessary, the court may consider any facts tending to indicate that the particular defendant may or may not be likely to appear as required.
- (b) The court shall set secured bond at the lowest amount necessary to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance and with regard to the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond.
- (c) The court shall not set a secured bond that a defendant cannot afford for the purpose of detaining a defendant who is otherwise eligible for pretrial release.
- (d) Secured bond shall not be set by reference to a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge.
- (2) **Types of secured bond.** If a secured bond is determined necessary in a particular case, the court shall impose the first of the following types of secured bond that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant.
- (a) Percentage bond. The court may require a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by a deposit in cash of ten percent (10%) of the amount specified. The deposit may be returned as provided in Paragraph K of this rule.
- (b) Property bond. The court may require the execution of a property bond by the defendant or by unpaid sureties in the full amount specified in the order setting

conditions of release, secured by the pledging of real property in accordance with Rule 8-401.1 NMRA.

- (c) Cash or surety bond. The court may give the defendant the option of either
- (i) a secured appearance bond executed by the defendant in the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release, secured by a deposit in cash of one hundred percent (100%) of the amount specified, which may be returned as provided in Paragraph K of this rule, or
- (ii) a surety bond executed by licensed sureties in accordance with Rule 8-401.2 NMRA for one hundred percent (100%) of the full amount specified in the order setting conditions of release.

F. Order setting conditions of release; contents.

- (1) Contents of order setting conditions of release. The order setting conditions of release shall
- (a) include a written statement that sets forth all the conditions to which the release is subject, in a manner sufficiently clear and specific to serve as a guide for the defendant's conduct;
 - (b) advise the defendant of
- (i) the penalties for violating a condition of release, including the penalties for committing an offense while on pretrial release;
- (ii) the consequences for violating a condition of release, including the immediate issuance of a warrant for the defendant's arrest, revocation of pretrial release, and forfeiture of bond; and
- (iii) the consequences of intimidating a witness, victim, or informant, or otherwise obstructing justice.
- (2) Written findings about secured bond. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond, if any, as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.

G. Motion for review of conditions of release by the municipal court.

- (1) **Motion for review.** If the municipal court requires a secured bond for the defendant's release under Paragraph E of this rule or imposes non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D of this rule, and the defendant remains in custody twenty-four (24) hours after the issuance of the order setting conditions of release as a result of the defendant's inability to post the secured bond or meet the conditions of release in the present case, the defendant shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions of release.
- (2) **Review hearing.** The municipal court shall hold a hearing in an expedited manner, but in no event later than five (5) days after the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing. Unless the order setting conditions of release is amended and the defendant is then released, the court shall file a written order setting forth the reasons for declining to amend the order setting conditions of release. The court shall consider the defendant's financial ability to secure a bond. No defendant eligible for pretrial release under Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution shall be detained solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond unless the court determines by clear and convincing evidence and makes findings of the reasons why the amount of secured bond

required by the court is reasonably necessary to ensure the appearance of the particular defendant as required. The court shall file written findings of the individualized facts justifying the secured bond as soon as possible, but no later than two (2) days after the conclusion of the hearing.

- (3) Work or school release. A defendant who is ordered released on a condition that requires that the defendant return to custody after specified hours, shall, on motion of the defendant or the court's own motion, be entitled to a hearing to review the conditions imposed. Unless the requirement is removed and the defendant is released on another condition, the court shall file a written order setting forth the reason for the continuation of the requirement. A hearing to review conditions of release under this subparagraph shall be held by the municipal court within five (5) days of the filing of the motion. The defendant shall have the right to assistance of retained or appointed counsel at the hearing.
- (4) **Subsequent motion for review.** The defendant may file subsequent motions for review of the order setting conditions of release, but the court may rule on subsequent motions with or without a hearing.
- H. **Amendment of conditions.** The court may amend its order setting conditions of release at any time. If the amendment of the order may result in the detention of the defendant or in more restrictive conditions of release, the court shall not amend the order without a hearing. If the court is considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release for violating a condition of release, the court shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 8-403 NMRA.

I. Petition to district court.

- (1) **Defendant must seek review by municipal court before filing petition in district court.** The defendant may file a petition in the district court for review of the municipal court's order setting conditions of release only after the municipal court has ruled on a motion to review the conditions of release under Paragraph G of this rule. The defendant shall attach to the district court petition a copy of the municipal court order disposing of the defendant's motion for review.
- (2) **Petition; requirements.** A petition to the district court under this paragraph shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
 - (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the municipal court;
 - (b) serve a copy on the prosecutor; and
 - (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (3) Municipal court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of a petition under this paragraph, the municipal court's jurisdiction to amend the conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court. The municipal court shall retain jurisdiction over all other aspects of the case, and the case shall proceed in the municipal court while the district court petition is pending. The municipal court's order setting conditions of release shall remain in effect unless and until the district court issues an order amending the conditions of release.
- (4) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner. Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
- (a) set a hearing no later than ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly send a copy of the notice to the municipal court;

- (b) deny the petition summarily; or
- (c) amend the order setting conditions of release without a hearing.
- (5) **District court order; transmission to municipal court.** The district court shall promptly send to the municipal court a copy of the district court order disposing of the petition, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the municipal court.
- J. **Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody.** The municipal court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained as a result of inability to post a secured bond or meet the conditions of release. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than forty-five (45) days. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.
- K. **Return of cash deposit.** If a defendant has been released by executing a secured appearance bond and depositing a cash deposit under Paragraph E of this rule, when the conditions of the appearance bond have been performed and the defendant's case has been adjudicated by the court, the clerk shall return the sum that has been deposited to the person who deposited the sum, or that person's personal representatives or assigns.
- L. Release from custody by designee. The presiding judge of the municipal court may designate by written court order responsible persons to implement the pretrial release procedures set forth in Rule 8-408 NMRA. A designee shall release a defendant from custody before the defendant's first appearance before a judge if the defendant is eligible for pretrial release under Rule 8-408 NMRA, but may contact a judge for special consideration based on exceptional circumstances. No person shall be qualified to serve as a designee if the person or the person's spouse is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety who is licensed to sell property or corporate bonds within this state.
- M. **Evidence.** Information offered in connection with or stated in any proceeding held or order entered under this rule need not conform to the New Mexico Rules of Evidence.
- N. **Forms.** Instruments required by this rule, including any order setting conditions of release, appearance bond, property bond, or surety bond, shall be substantially in the form approved by the Supreme Court.
- O. **Judicial discretion; disqualification.** Action by any court on any matter relating to pretrial release shall not preclude the subsequent disqualification of a judge under the provisions of the New Mexico Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct.

 [As amended, effective August 1, 1987; October 1, 1987; September 1, 1990; December 1, 1990;

[As amended, effective August 1, 1987; October 1, 1987; September 1, 1990; December 1, 1990; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 07-8300-034, effective January 22, 2008; by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-047, effective December 31, 2008; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. ______, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after _____.]

Committee commentary. — This rule provides "the mechanism through which a person may effectuate the right to pretrial release afforded by Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution." *State v. Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 37, 338 P.3d 1276. In 2016, Article II, Section 13 was amended (1) to permit a court of record to order the detention of a felony defendant pending trial if the prosecutor proves by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant poses a danger

to the safety of any other person or the community and that no release condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the safety of any other person or the community[;], and (2) to require the pretrial release of a defendant who is in custody solely [due to]because of financial inability to post a secured bond. This rule was derived from the federal statute governing the release or detention of a defendant pending trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3142. This rule was amended in 2017 to implement the 2016 amendment to Article II, Section 13 and the Supreme Court's holding in *Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038. Corresponding rules are located in the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the District Courts, *see* Rule 5-401 NMRA, the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Magistrate Courts, *see* Rule 6-401 NMRA, and the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Metropolitan Courts, *see* Rule 7-401 NMRA.

Time periods specified in this rule are computed in accordance with Rule 8-104 NMRA.

Just as assistance of counsel is required at a detention hearing under Rule 5-409 NMRA that may result in a denial of pretrial release based on dangerousness, Subparagraphs (A)(2), (G)(2), and (G)(3) of this rule provide that assistance of counsel is required in a proceeding that may result in denial of pretrial release based on reasons that do not involve dangerousness, such as a simple inability to meet a financial condition.

As set forth in Paragraph B, a defendant is entitled to release on personal recognizance or unsecured bond unless the court determines that any release, in addition to any non-monetary conditions of release under Paragraph D, will not reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of any other person or the community.

Paragraph C lists the factors the court should consider when determining conditions of release. In all cases, the court is required to consider any available results of a pretrial risk assessment instrument approved by the Supreme Court for use in the jurisdiction, if any, and the financial resources of the defendant.

Paragraph D lists various non-monetary conditions of release. The court must impose the least restrictive condition, or combination of conditions, that will reasonably ensure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community. *See Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶¶ 1, 37, 39. If the defendant has previously been released on standard conditions before a court appearance, the judge should review the conditions at the defendant's first appearance to determine whether any particularized conditions should be imposed under the circumstances of the case. Paragraph D also permits the court to impose non-monetary conditions of release to ensure the orderly administration of justice. This provision was derived from the American Bar Association, *ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release*, Standard 10-5.2 (3d ed. 2007). Some conditions of release may have a cost associated with the condition. The court should make a determination [as to]about whether the defendant can afford to pay all or a [portion]part of the cost, or whether the court has the authority to waive the cost, because detaining a defendant [due to]because of inability to pay the cost associated with a condition of release is comparable to detaining a defendant [due to]because of financial inability to post a secured bond.

As set forth in Paragraph E, the only purpose for which the court may impose a secured bond is to ensure that the defendant will appear for trial and other pretrial proceedings for which the defendant must be present. *See State v. Ericksons*, 1987-NMSC-108, ¶ 6, 106 N.M. 567, 746 P.2d 1099 ("[T]he purpose of bail is to secure the defendant's attendance to submit to the punishment to be imposed by the court."); *see also* NMSA 1978, § 31-3-2(B)(2) (1993) (authorizing the forfeiture of bond on the defendant's failure to appear).

The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify that the amount of secured bond must not be based on a bond schedule, i.e., a predetermined schedule of monetary amounts fixed according to the nature of the charge. Instead, the court must consider the individual defendant's financial resources and must set secured bond at the lowest amount that will reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance in court after the defendant is released.

Secured bond cannot be used for the purpose of detaining a defendant who may pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community. *See Brown*, 2014-NMSC-038, ¶ 53 ("Neither the New Mexico Constitution nor our rules of criminal procedure permit a judge to set high bail for the purpose of preventing a defendant's pretrial release."); *see also Stack v. Boyle*, 342 U.S. 1, 5 (1951) (stating that secured bond set higher than the amount reasonably calculated to ensure the defendant's appearance in court "is 'excessive' under the Eighth Amendment").

The court should consider the authorized types of secured bonds in the order of priority set forth in Paragraph E. The court must first consider requiring an appearance bond secured by a cash deposit of ten percent (10%). No other percentage is permitted under the rule. If a cash deposit of ten percent (10%) is inadequate, the court then must consider a property bond involving property that belongs to the defendant or other unpaid surety. If neither of these options is sufficient to reasonably ensure the defendant's appearance, the court may require a cash or surety bond for the defendant's release. If the court requires a cash or surety bond, the defendant has the option either to execute an appearance bond and deposit one hundred percent (100%) of the amount of the bond with the court or to purchase a bond from a paid surety. Under Subparagraph (E)(2)(c), the defendant alone has the choice to post the bond by a one hundred percent (100%) cash deposit or a surety. The court does not have the option to set a cash-only bond or a surety-only bond; it must give the defendant the choice of either. A paid surety may execute a surety bond or a real or personal property bond only if the conditions of Rule 8-401.2 NMRA are met.

Paragraph F governs the contents of an order setting conditions of release. See Form 9-303 NMRA (order setting conditions of release). Although pretrial release hearings are not required to be a matter of record in the municipal court, Paragraph F requires the court to make written findings justifying the imposition of a secured bond, if any. Judges are encouraged to enter their written findings on the order setting conditions of release at the conclusion of the hearing. If more detailed findings are necessary, the judge should make any supplemental findings in a separate document within two (2) days of the conclusion of the hearing.

Paragraph G sets forth the procedure for the defendant to file a motion in the municipal court for review of the conditions of release. Paragraph I sets forth the procedure for the defendant to petition the district court for review of the conditions of release set by the municipal court. Article II, Section 13 of the New Mexico Constitution requires the court to rule on a motion or petition for pretrial release "in an expedited manner" and to release a defendant who is being held solely because of financial inability to post a secured bond. A defendant who wishes to present financial information to a court to support a motion or a petition for pretrial release may present Form 9-301A NMRA (pretrial release financial affidavit) to the court. The defendant shall be entitled to appear and participate personally with counsel before the judge conducting any hearing to review the conditions of release, rather than by any means of remote electronic conferencing.

Paragraph J requires the municipal court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody because of inability to post bond or meet the conditions of release. *See generally United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate

due process, in part because of "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act," 18 U.S.C. § 3161); Am. Bar Ass'n, *ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release*, Standard 10-5.11 (3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limitations within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

Under NMSA 1978, Section 31-3-1 (1972), the court may appoint a designee to carry out the provisions of this rule. As set forth in Paragraph L, a designee must be designated by the presiding municipal court judge in a written court order. A person may not be appointed as a designee if that person is related within the second degree of blood or marriage to a paid surety licensed in this state to execute bail bonds. A jailer may be appointed as a designee. Paragraph L and Rule 8-408 NMRA govern the limited circumstances under which a designee shall release an arrested defendant from custody before that defendant's first appearance before a judge.

Paragraph M of this rule dovetails with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. Both provide that the Rules of Evidence are not applicable to proceedings in the municipal court with respect to matters of pretrial release. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial release hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754 (E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into account in passing sentence."), aff'd, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir. 1983); State v. Guthrie, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence).

As set forth in Rule 8-106 NMRA, no right to peremptory disqualification exists in the municipal court, but a judge may file a recusal either on the court's own motion or motion of a party. See N.M. Const. art. VI, § 18; Rule 21-211 NMRA.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022.]

8-403. Revocation or modification of release orders.

- A. **Scope.** In accordance with this rule, the court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release
 - (1) if the defendant is alleged to have violated a condition of release; or
- (2) to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

B. Motion for revocation or modification of conditions of release.

- (1) The court may consider revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release on motion of the prosecutor or on the court's own motion.
- (2) The defendant may file a response to the motion, but the filing of a response shall not delay any hearing under Paragraph D or E of this rule.
- C. **Issuance of summons or bench warrant.** If the court does not deny the motion on the pleadings, the court shall issue a summons and notice of hearing, unless the court finds that the interests of justice may be better served by the issuance of a bench warrant. The summons or bench warrant shall include notice of the reasons for the review of the pretrial release decision.

D. Initial hearing.

- (1) The court shall hold an initial hearing as soon as practicable, but if the defendant is in custody, the hearing shall be held no later than three (3) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the defendant is detained if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center.
- (2) At the initial hearing, the court may continue the existing conditions of release, set different conditions of release, or propose revocation of release.
- (3) If the court proposes revocation of release, the court shall schedule an evidentiary hearing under Paragraph E of this rule, unless waived by the defendant.

E. Evidentiary hearing.

- (1) **Time.** The evidentiary hearing shall be held as soon as practicable. If the defendant is in custody, the evidentiary hearing shall be held no later than seven (7) days after the initial hearing.
- (2) **Defendant's rights.** The defendant has the right to be present and to be represented by counsel and, if financially unable to obtain counsel, to have counsel appointed. The defendant shall be afforded an opportunity to testify, to present witnesses, to compel the attendance of witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses who appear at the hearing, and to present information by proffer or otherwise. If the defendant testifies at the hearing, the defendant's testimony shall not be used against the defendant at trial except for impeachment purposes or in a subsequent prosecution for perjury.
- F. **Order at completion of evidentiary hearing.** At the completion of an evidentiary hearing, the court shall determine whether the defendant has violated a condition of release or whether revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice. The court may
 - (1) continue the existing conditions of release;
- (2) set new or additional conditions of release in accordance with Rule 8-401 NMRA; or
 - (3) revoke the defendant's release, if the court
 - (a) finds either
- (i) probable cause to believe that the defendant committed a federal, state, or local crime while on release; or
- (ii) clear and convincing evidence that the defendant has willfully violated any other condition of release; and
 - (b) finds clear and convincing evidence that either
- (i) no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ensure the defendant's compliance with the release conditions ordered by the court; or

(ii) revocation of the defendant's release is necessary to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper administration of justice.

An order revoking release shall include written findings of the individualized facts justifying revocation.

- G. **Evidence.** The New Mexico Rules of Evidence shall not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at any hearing under this rule.
- H. **Review of conditions.** If the municipal court enters an order setting new or additional conditions of release and the defendant is detained or continues to be detained because of a failure to meet a condition imposed, or is subject to a requirement to return to custody after specified hours, the defendant may petition the district court for review in accordance with Rule 8-401(I) NMRA. The defendant may petition the district court immediately on the issuance of the municipal court order and shall not be required to first seek review or reconsideration by the municipal court. If, on disposition of the petition by the district court, the defendant is detained or continues to be detained because of a failure to meet a condition imposed, or is subject to a requirement to return to custody after specified hours, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA.
- I. **Expedited trial scheduling for defendant in custody.** The municipal court shall provide expedited priority scheduling in a case in which the defendant is detained pending trial. The court shall hold a status review hearing in any case in which the defendant has been held for more than forty-five (45) days. The purpose of the status review hearing is to conduct a meaningful review of the progress of the case. If the court determines that insufficient progress has been made, then the court shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.
- J. **Petition to district court for review of revocation order.** If the municipal court issues an order revoking the defendant's release, the defendant may petition the district court for review under this paragraph and Rule 5-403(K) NMRA.
- (1) **Petition; requirements.** The petition shall include the specific facts that warrant review by the district court and may include a request for a hearing. The petitioner shall promptly
 - (a) file a copy of the district court petition in the municipal court;
 - (b) serve a copy on the prosecutor; and
 - (c) provide a copy to the assigned district court judge.
- (2) Municipal court's jurisdiction pending determination of the petition. On the filing of the petition, the municipal court's jurisdiction to set or amend conditions of release shall be suspended pending determination of the petition by the district court. The municipal court shall retain jurisdiction over all other aspects of the case, and the case shall proceed in the municipal court while the petition is pending.
- (3) **District court review.** The district court shall rule on the petition in an expedited manner.
- (a) Within three (3) days after the petition is filed, the district court shall take one of the following actions:
 - (i) issue an order affirming the revocation order; or
- (ii) set a hearing to be held within ten (10) days after the filing of the petition and promptly send a copy of the notice to the municipal court.

- (b) If the district court holds a hearing on the petition, at the conclusion of the hearing the court shall issue either an order affirming the revocation order or an order setting conditions of release under Rule 5-401 NMRA.
- (4) **District court order; transmission to municipal court.** The district court shall promptly send the order to the municipal court, and jurisdiction over the conditions of release shall revert to the municipal court.
- (5) *Appeal.* If the district court affirms the revocation order, the defendant may appeal in accordance with Rule 5-405 NMRA and Rule 12-204 NMRA. [Approved, effective July 1, 1988; as amended, effective September 1, 1990; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-047, effective December 31, 2008; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-024, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after February 1, 2019; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022.]

Committee commentary. — The 2017 amendments to this rule clarify the procedure for the court to follow when considering revocation of the defendant's pretrial release or modification of the defendant's conditions of release for violating the conditions of release. In *State v. Segura*, 2014-NMCA-037, ¶¶ 1, 24-25, 321 P.3d 140, the Court of Appeals held that due process requires courts to afford the defendant notice and an opportunity to be heard before the court may revoke the defendant's bail and remand the defendant into custody. *See also Tijerina v. Baker*, 1968-NMSC-009, ¶ 9, 78 N.M. 770, 438 P.2d 514 (explaining that the right to bail is not absolute); *id.* ¶ 10 ("If the court has inherent power to revoke bail of a defendant during trial and pending final disposition of the criminal case in order to prevent interference with witnesses or the proper set of facts."); *State v. Rivera*, 2003-NMCA-059, ¶ 20, 133 N.M. 571, 66 P.3d 344 ("Conditions of release are separate, coercive powers of a court, apart from the bond itself. They are enforceable by immediate arrest, revocation, or modification if violated. These conditions of release are intended to protect the public and keep the defendant in line."), *rev'd on other grounds*, 2004-NMSC-001, 134 N.M. 768, 82 P.3d 939.

As used in Paragraph D, a local detention center is one that is commonly used by the municipal court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. *See* Rule 8-401 NMRA.

Paragraph G provides that the New Mexico Rules of Evidence do not apply at a revocation hearing, consistent with Rule 11-1101(D)(3)(e) NMRA. As with courts in other types of proceedings in which the Rules of Evidence do not apply, a court presiding over a pretrial detention hearing is responsible "for assessing the reliability and accuracy" of the information presented. See United States v. Martir, 782 F.2d 1141, 1145 (2d Cir. 1986) (explaining that in a pretrial detention hearing the judge "retains the responsibility for assessing the reliability and accuracy of the government's information, whether presented by proffer or by direct proof"); State v. Ingram, 155 A.3d 597 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 2017) (holding that it is within the discretion of the detention hearing court to determine whether a pretrial detention order may be supported in an individual case by documentary evidence, proffer, one or more live witnesses, or other forms of information the court deems sufficient); see also United States v. Marshall, 519 F. Supp. 751, 754 (E.D. Wis. 1981) ("So long as the information which the sentencing judge considers has sufficient indicia of reliability to support its probable accuracy, the information may properly be taken into

account in passing sentence."), *aff'd*, 719 F.2d 887 (7th Cir. 1983); *State v. Guthrie*, 2011-NMSC-014, ¶¶ 36-39, 43, 150 N.M. 84, 257 P.3d 904 (explaining that in a probation revocation hearing, the court should focus on the reliability of the evidence); *State v. Vigil*, 1982-NMCA-058, ¶ 24, 97 N.M. 749, 643 P.2d 618 (holding in a probation revocation hearing that hearsay untested for accuracy or reliability lacked probative value).

Paragraph I requires the municipal court to prioritize the scheduling of trial and other proceedings for cases in which the defendant is held in custody. *See generally United States v. Salerno*, 481 U.S. 739, 747 (1987) (concluding that the detention provisions in the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142, did not violate due process, in part due to "the stringent time limitations of the Speedy Trial Act," 18 U.S.C. § 3161); Am. Bar Ass'n, *ABA Standards for Criminal Justice: Pretrial Release*, Standard 10-5.11 (3d ed. 2007) ("Every jurisdiction should establish, by statute or court rule, accelerated time limitations within which detained defendants should be tried consistent with the sound administration of justice."). This rule does not preclude earlier or more regular status review hearings. The purpose of the hearing is to determine how best to expedite a trial in the case. A meaningful review of the progress of the case includes assessment of the parties' compliance with applicable deadlines, satisfaction of discovery obligations, and witness availability, among other matters. If the court determines that the parties have made insufficient progress on these measures, then it shall issue an appropriate scheduling order.

[Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 22-8300-015, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2022; as amended by Supreme Court Order No.

, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after_____.]

8-506. Time of commencement of trial.

A. Time limits for arraignment.

- (1) **Defendant not in custody.** A defendant who is not in custody shall be arraigned on the complaint or citation within thirty (30) days after the filing of the complaint or citation or the date of arrest, whichever is later. If the defendant fails to appear by the appearance date on a citation, the court shall issue a summons commanding the defendant to appear for arraignment within thirty (30) days of the initial appearance date on the citation.
- (2) **Defendant in custody.** A defendant who is in custody within this state shall be arraigned on the complaint or citation as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local detention center, or no later than five (5) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is not being held in the local detention center.
- B. **Time limits for commencement of trial.** The trial of a criminal citation or complaint shall be commenced within one hundred eighty-two (182) days after whichever of the following events occurs latest:
- (1) the date of arraignment or the filing of a waiver of arraignment of the defendant;
- (2) if an evaluation of competency has been ordered, the date an order or remand is filed in the municipal court finding the defendant competent to stand trial;
- (3) if a mistrial is declared by the trial court, the date such order is filed in the municipal court;

- (4) in the event of a remand from an appeal or request for extraordinary relief, the date the mandate or order is filed in the municipal court disposing of the appeal or request for extraordinary relief;
- (5) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in this state for failure to appear, the date of arrest or surrender of the defendant;
- (6) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in another state or country for failure to appear, the date the defendant is returned to this state; or
- (7) if the defendant has been placed in a preprosecution diversion program, the date a notice is filed in the municipal court that the preprosecution diversion program has been terminated for failure to comply with the terms, conditions, or requirements of the program.
- C. **Extension of time.** The time for commencement of trial may be extended by the court:
- (1) upon the filing of a written waiver of the provisions of this rule by the defendant and approval of the court;
- (2) upon motion of the defendant, for good cause shown, and approval of the court, for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph shall not exceed sixty (60) days; or
- (3) upon stipulation of the parties and approval of the court, for a period not exceeding sixty (60) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph shall not exceed sixty (60) days;
- (4) upon withdrawal of a plea or rejection of a plea for a period up to ninety (90) days;
- (5) upon a determination by the court that exceptional circumstances exist that were beyond the control of the prosecution or the court that prevented the case from being heard within the time period and a written finding that the defendant would not be unfairly prejudiced, the court may grant further extensions that are necessary in the interests of justice; or
- (6) if defense counsel fails to appear for trial within a reasonable time, for a period not to exceed one hundred eighty-two (182) days, provided that the aggregate of all extensions granted under this subparagraph may not exceed one hundred eighty-two (182) days.
- D. **Time for filing motion.** A motion to extend the time period for commencement of trial under Paragraph C of this rule may be filed at any time within the applicable time limits or upon exceptional circumstances shown within ten (10) days after the expiration of the time period. At the request of either party, the court shall hold a hearing prior to the commencement of trial to determine whether an extension may be appropriately granted.

E. Effect of noncompliance with time limits.

- (1) The court may deny an untimely petition for extension of time or may grant it and impose other sanctions or remedial measures, as the court may deem appropriate in the circumstances.
- (2) In the event the trial of any person does not commence within the time limits provided in this rule, including any court-ordered extensions, the case shall be dismissed with prejudice.

[As amended, effective August 1, 1999; August 1, 2004; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 07-8300-026, effective November 1, 2007; by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-057, effective January 15, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-019, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 16-

Committee commentary. —

Exceptional circumstances. — "Exceptional circumstances," as used in this rule, would include conditions that are unusual or extraordinary, such as death or illness of the judge, prosecutor, or defense attorney immediately preceding the commencement of the trial; or other circumstances that ordinary experience or prudence would not foresee, anticipate, or provide for. The court may grant an extension for exceptional circumstances only if the court finds that the extension will not unfairly prejudice the defendant. The defendant may move the court to dismiss the case based on a particularized showing that the extension or impending extension would subject the defendant to oppressive pretrial incarceration, anxiety and concern, or the possibility that the defense will be impaired.

Constitutional right to speedy trial. — This rule is distinct from any speedy trial rights a defendant may have under the constitutions and laws of the United States and the State of New Mexico. *See State v. Urban*, 2004-NMSC-007, 135 N.M. 279, 87 P.3d 1061 for the factors to be considered.

Duty of prosecutor. — It is the continuing duty of the prosecutor to seek the commencement of trial within the time specified in this rule.

Computation of time. — Time periods are computed under Rule 8-104 NMRA.

Paragraph A. — Paragraph A of this rule requires arraignment within thirty (30) days after the filing of the complaint or citation or the date of arrest, whichever is later. For defendants in custody, arraignment is required within three (3) days after the date of arrest if the defendant is being held in the local jail, or five (5) days after the date of arrest, if the defendant is being held in another jurisdiction. A failure to arraign the defendant within the time limitation will not result in a dismissal of the charge unless the defendant can show some prejudice due to the delay. "Local detention center" is defined as one that is commonly used by the municipal court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the court. See Rule 8-401 NMRA.

Paragraph B. — A violation of Paragraph B of this rule can result in a dismissal with prejudice under Paragraph E of this rule. *See also State v. Lopez*, ¶ 3, 1976-NMSC-012, 89 N.M. 82, 547 P.2d 565. However, the rules do not create a jurisdictional barrier to prosecution. The defendant must raise the issue and seek dismissal. *See State v. Vigil*, 1973-NMCA-089, ¶ 28, 85 N.M. 328, 512 P.2d 88. If the state in good faith files a nolle prosequi under Rule 8-506.1(C) and (D) NMRA and later files the same charge, the trial on the refiled charges shall be commenced within the unexpired time for trial under Rule 8-506 NMRA, unless, under Rule 8-506.1(D) NMRA, the court finds the refiled complaint should not be treated as a continuation of the same case.

[As amended by Supreme Court Order No. 08-8300-057, effective January 15, 2009; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-019, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 16-8300-002, effective for all cases filed on or after May 24, 2016; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-005, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after July 1, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-022, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2017; as amended

by Supreme Court Order. No. , effective for all cases pending or filed on or after
]
8-802. Return of the probation violator.
A. Probation. The court shall have the power to suspend or defer a sentence and
impose conditions of probation during the period of suspension or deferral.
B. Violation of probation. At any time during probation if it appears that the
probationer may have violated the conditions of probation
(1) the court may issue a warrant or bench warrant for the arrest of a probationer
for violation of any of the conditions of probation. The warrant shall order the probationer to the
custody of the court or to any suitable detention facility;
(2) the court may issue a notice to appear to answer a charge of violation.
C. Initial hearing.
(1) Probationer not in custody . A probationer who is not in custody shall be
noticed to appear not more than fifteen (15) days after the filing of a probation violation or, if no
violation is filed, not more than fifteen (15) days after the court has reason to believe that the
probationer may have violated the conditions of probation.
(2) Probationer in custody. A probationer who is in custody within this state
shall be arraigned on the probation violation as soon as practicable, but in any event no later than
three (3) days after the probationer is detained if the probationer is being held in the local detention
center, or no later than five (5) days after the probationer is detained if the probationer is not being
held in the local detention center.
D. Adjudicatory hearing. On notice to the probationer, the court shall hold a hearing
on the violation charged. If the probationer is in custody the hearing shall be held as soon as
practicable, but in any event no later than ten (10) days after the initial hearing. If the probationer
is not in custody the hearing shall be held no later than thirty (30) days after the initial hearing. If
the violation is established, the court may continue the original probation, revoke the probation,
and either order a new probation or require the probationer to serve the balance of the sentence
imposed or any lesser sentence. If imposition of sentence was deferred, the court may impose any
sentence which might originally have been imposed, but credit shall be given for time served on
probation, unless that credit is specifically prohibited by statute or ordinance.
E. Appeals. The decision of the court to revoke probation may be appealed to the
district court as otherwise provided in these rules. The only issue the district court will address on
appeal will be the propriety of the revocation of probation. The district court shall not modify the
sentence of the municipal court.
[As amended, effective September 1, 1989; May 1, 2002; as amended by Supreme Court Order
No. 13-8300-007, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after May 5, 2013; as amended by
Supreme Court Order No. 21-8300-027, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after
December 31, 2021; as amended by Supreme Court Order , effective for all cases
pending or filed on or after]
Committee commentary — "Local detention center" is defined as one that is commonly
used by the municipal court in the normal course of business and not necessarily within the
territorial jurisdiction of the court. See Rule 8-401 NMRA.
[Adopted by Supreme Court Order , effective for all cases pending or filed on or
after .]

No Comments Received