## **7-202. Preliminary examination.**

2	A. Time.
3	(1) <i>Time limits</i> . A preliminary examination shall be scheduled and held within
4	a reasonable time but in any event no later than ten (10) days if the defendant is in custody, and no
5	later than sixty (60) days if the defendant is not in custody, of whichever of the following events
6	occurs latest:
7	(a) the first appearance;
8	(b) if an evaluation of competency has been ordered, the date an order
9	is filed in the metropolitan court finding the defendant competent to stand trial;
10	(c) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in this
11	state for failure to appear, the date the arrest warrant is returned to the court;
12	(d) if the defendant is arrested for failure to appear or surrenders in
13	another state or country for failure to appear, the date the defendant is returned to this state;
14	(e) if the defendant has been placed in a preprosecution diversion
15	program, the date a notice is filed in the metropolitan court stating that the preprosecution diversion
16	program has been terminated for failure to comply with the terms, conditions, or requirements of
17	the program; or
18	(f) if the defendant is arrested upon a bench warrant for failure to
19	comply with conditions of release or if the defendant's pretrial release is revoked under Rule 7-
20	403 NMRA, the date the defendant is remanded into custody, provided that in no event a
21	preliminary examination shall occur later than required by any of the events in Subparagraph
22	(A)(1) of this rule.

(2) <i>Extensions</i> . Upon a showing of good cause, the court may extend the time			
limits for holding a preliminary examination for up to sixty (60) days. If the defendant does not			
consent, the court may extend the time limits in Subparagraph (A)(1) of this rule only upon a			
showing on the record that exceptional circumstances beyond the control of the state or the court			
exist and justice requires the delay. The time enlargement provisions in Rule 7-104 do not apply			
to a preliminary examination.			
(3) Dismissal without prejudice. If a preliminary examination is not held			
within the time limits in this rule, the court shall dismiss the case without prejudice and discharge			
the defendant.			
B. <b>Procedures.</b> If the court determines that a preliminary examination must be			

- B. **Procedures.** If the court determines that a preliminary examination must be conducted, the following procedures shall apply.
- (1) *Counsel*. The defendant has the right to assistance of counsel at the preliminary examination.
- any tangible evidence in the prosecution's possession, custody, and control, including records, papers, documents, and recorded witness statements that are material to the preparation of the defense or that are intended for use by the prosecution at the preliminary examination. The prosecution is under a continuing duty to disclose additional evidence to the defendant as such evidence becomes available to the prosecution.
- 20 (3) *Subpoenas*. Subpoenas shall be issued for any witness required by the prosecution or the defendant.

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	(4)	Cross-examination. The	witness sha	all be exam	ined in the	defendant's
2	presence, and both the	e prosecution and the defe	endant shall b	be afforded the	he right to c	ross-examine
3	adverse witnesses.					

- (5) *Rules of Evidence*. The Rules of Evidence apply, subject to any specific exception in the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Metropolitan Courts.
- C. **Recording of examination.** A recording shall be made of the preliminary examination. If the defendant is bound over for trial in the district court, the recording shall be filed with the clerk of the district court with the bind-over order. Any party may request a duplicate of the recording from the district court within six (6) months following the preliminary examination.

#### D. Findings of court.

- (1) If, upon completion of the examination, the court finds that there is no probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed a felony offense, the court shall dismiss without prejudice all felony charges for which probable cause does not exist and discharge the defendant as to those offenses.
- (2) If the only remaining charges are within metropolitan court trial jurisdiction, the court shall either conduct an arraignment immediately on the remaining charges or shall hold an arraignment within the time limits set forth in Rule 7-506(A) NMRA, and the case shall then proceed under the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the Metropolitan Courts.
- (3) If the court finds that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more offenses not within metropolitan court trial jurisdiction, it shall bind the defendant over for trial in the district court. All misdemeanor offenses charged in the complaint shall be included in the bind-over order.

#### E. Transfer to district court.

(1)	If the defendant is bound over for trial by the metropolitan court, the district
attorney shall file the	following with the metropolitan court:

- (a) a copy of the information filed in the district court; and
- 5 (b) if an order is entered by the district court extending the time for 6 filing an information, a copy of such order.
  - (2) When a copy of the information filed in district court is filed in the metropolitan court, the metropolitan court shall at that time transfer the metropolitan court record, along with the bind-over order, to the district court.
  - (3) If an information is not timely filed in the district court in accordance with the requirements of Rule 5-201(C), the metropolitan court, upon motion or of its own initiative, shall dismiss the charges without prejudice within two (2) days of the expiration of the applicable filing deadline.
  - F. **Effect of indictment.** If the defendant is indicted prior to a preliminary examination for the offense pending in the metropolitan court, the district attorney shall forthwith advise the metropolitan court and the metropolitan court shall take no further action in the case, provided that any conditions of release set by the metropolitan court shall continue in effect unless amended by the district court.
  - G. Bail bond. Unless the defendant is discharged, the metropolitan court shall retain jurisdiction over the defendant and the bond until an information or indictment is filed in the district court or until twelve (12) months after the preliminary examination, whichever occurs first.

    If the defendant is bound over for trial by the metropolitan court or indicted, the metropolitan court

- shall transfer any bond to the district court. Unless the proceedings are remanded to the
- 2 metropolitan court, all further action relating to the bond shall be taken in the district court.
- 3 [As amended, effective October 1, 1992; November 1, 1995; February 16, 2004; as amended by
- 4 Supreme Court Order No. 14-8300-020, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after
- 5 December 31, 2014; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-016, effective for all cases
- 6 pending or filed on or after December 31, 2017; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 20-
- 7 8300-008, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2020.]
  - Committee commentary. Under Subparagraph (A)(2), the district court may extend the time limits for holding a preliminary examination if the defendant does not consent only upon a showing of exceptional circumstances beyond the control of the state or the court. "Exceptional circumstances," . . . would include conditions that are unusual or extraordinary, such as death or illness of the judge, prosecutor, or defense attorney immediately preceding the commencement of the trial; or other circumstances that ordinary experience or prudence would not foresee, anticipate, or provide for." *See* Committee commentary to Rule 7-506 NMRA.

Article II, Section 14 of the New Mexico Constitution guarantees that the state cannot prosecute a person for a "capital, felonious or infamous crime" without filing either a grand jury indictment or a criminal information. If the state is going to proceed by criminal information, the defendant is entitled to a preliminary examination. *See* N.M. Const. art. II, § 14. At the preliminary examination, "the state is required to establish, to the satisfaction of the examining judge, two components: (1) that a crime has been committed; and (2) probable cause exists to believe that the person charged committed it." *State v. White*, 2010-NMCA-043, ¶ 11, 148 N.M. 214, 232 P.3d 450.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

If the court dismisses a criminal charge for failure to comply with the time limits in
Paragraph A of this rule or for lack of probable cause under Paragraph D of this rule, the dismissal
is without prejudice, and the state may later prosecute the defendant for the same offense by filing
either an indictment or an information. See State v. Chavez, 1979-NMCA-075, ¶ 23, 93 N.M.
270, 599 P.2d 1067; see also State v. Peavler, 1975-NMSC-035, ¶ 8, 88 N.M. 125, 537 P.2d
1387 (explaining that, following dismissal of an indictment, "the State can choose whether to
proceed by indictment or information"); State v. Isaac M., 2001-NMCA-088, ¶ 14, 131 N.M.
235, 34 P.3d 624 (concluding that the right to be free from double jeopardy does not preclude
"multiple attempts to show probable cause" because "it is settled law that jeopardy does not attach
pretrial"). Cf. Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(f) ("If the magistrate judge finds no probable cause to believe
an offense has been committed or the defendant committed it, the magistrate judge must dismiss
the complaint and discharge the defendant. A discharge does not preclude the government from
later prosecuting the defendant for the same offense.").
Discharging the defendant means relieving the defendant of all obligations to the court that
originated from a criminal charge. Thus, to discharge a defendant the court must release the
defendant from custody, relieve the defendant of all conditions of release, and exonerate any bond.
In State v. Lopez, 2013-NMSC-047, ¶ 26, 314 P.3d 236, the Supreme Court held that a
111 State V. Lopez, 2013 144150 017,    20, 311 1.3d 230, the Supreme Court held that a
defendant does not have a constitutional right of confrontation at the preliminary
defendant does not have a constitutional right of confrontation at the preliminary
defendant does not have a constitutional right of confrontation at the preliminary examination, <i>overruling Mascarenas v. State</i> , 1969-NMSC-116, 80 N.M. 537, 458 P.2d 789, to

of this rule is not intended to be a comprehensive list of the defendant's rights at the preliminary examination.

First, *Lopez* did not alter the prosecution's duty to provide discovery, as available, to the defendant. *See Mascarenas*, 1969-NMSC-116, ¶ 14 (holding that if the state is going to call a witness to testify at the preliminary examination, then the defendant has a right to inspect any prior statements or reports made by such witness that are in the possession of the prosecution). However, the defendant's right to discovery prior to the preliminary examination is limited to what is available and in the prosecutor's immediate possession. For example, the defendant does not have a right to discover a laboratory report that has not been prepared and is not ready for use at the preliminary examination.

Additionally, the Rules of Evidence remain generally applicable to preliminary examinations, subject to specific exceptions for certain types of evidence not admissible at trial. *See Lopez*, 2013-NMSC-047, ¶ 4 (noting that the "Rules of Evidence generally govern proceedings in preliminary examinations" but explaining that Rule 6-608(A) NMRA, which is identical to Rule 7-608(A) NMRA, "provides a specific exception to our hearsay rule for admissibility" of certain types of written laboratory reports).

The defendant also retains the right to call and obtain subpoenas for witnesses and to cross-examine the state's witnesses. Thus, although Rule 7-608(A) may permit the state to use a laboratory report at the preliminary examination without calling the laboratory analyst as a witness, the defendant retains the right "to call witnesses to testify as to the matters covered in such report." Rule 7-608(B). And the preliminary examination remains "a critical stage of a criminal proceeding" at which "counsel must be made available to the accused." *State v. Sanchez*, 1984-NMCA-068, ¶ 10, 101 N.M. 509, 684 P.2d 1174.

# METROPOLITAN COURT CRIMINAL RULE 7-202

### Supreme Court Approved November 1, 2020

- 1 [Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 14-8300-020, effective for all cases pending or filed on or
- 2 after December 31, 2014; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 17-8300-016, effective for all
- 3 cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2017.]