1 **6-108.** Non-attorney prosecutions.

2	A.	Law e	nforcement officers . Law enforcement officers may file criminal complaints
3	against perso	ons in th	e magistrate court that has jurisdiction over the alleged offense. Criminal
4	complaints s	hall be	limited to charges within the jurisdiction of the court. Law enforcement
5	officers may	prosecu	te misdemeanor criminal complaints they have filed in magistrate court,
6	except that no	o law en	forcement officer may prosecute any case that[÷]
7		(1)	is tried before a jury;
8		(2)	involves a charge of driving under the influence of intoxicating liquor or
9	drugs; or		
10		(3)	involves a charge of domestic violence under Sections 30-3-12, 30-3-15,
11	30-3-16, 30-3	3-18, or	40-13-6 NMSA 1978.
12	В.	Other	authorized prosecutions. A governmental entity may appear and prosecute
13	any misdeme	eanor pr	occeeding if the appearance is by an employee of the governmental entity
14	authorized by the governmental entity to institute or cause to be instituted an action on behalf of		
15	the governmental entity, except that no governmental entity may prosecute through a non-attorney		
16	any case that	[÷]	
17		(1)	is tried before a jury;
18		(2)	involves a charge of driving under the influence of intoxicating liquor or
19	drugs; or		
20		(3)	involves a charge of domestic violence under Sections 30-3-12, 30-3-15,
21	30-3-16, 30-3	3-18, or	40-13-6 NMSA 1978.
22	C.	Trial	procedures. In cases where law enforcement officers and non-attorney
23	government	employe	ees are authorized under Paragraphs A and B of this rule to prosecute

1	complaints they have filed, those law enforcement officers and government employees shall be			
2	permitted to testify and present evidence to the court. In the court's discretion, [such] those parties			
3	may also ask questions of witnesses, either directly or through the court, and may make statements			
4	bringing pertinent facts and legal authorities to the court's attention.			
5	D. Probation violations. Persons employed as probation officers or compliance			
6	officers with a county misdemeanor compliance program or county DWI compliance program may			
7	appear and prosecute probation violations they have filed in magistrate court. Those officers may			
8	participate in any related court proceedings in the same manner as provided for law enforcement			
9	officers and non-attorney government employees under Paragraph C.			
10	E. Special prosecutor. Nothing in this rule shall prevent the district attorney from			
11	appointing an attorney to act as a special prosecutor for those cases in which a law enforcement			
12	officer or an employee acting under authority of a governmental entity has been unable to resolve			
13	a case through pretrial procedures and the case must be tried before a jury.			
14	[As amended, effective March 15, 1986; July 1, 1988; as amended by Supreme Court Order No.			
15	08-8300-044, effective December 31, 2008; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-			
16	033, effective for all cases filed on or after December 31, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court			
17	Order No. 20-8300-008, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2020.]			
18	Committee commentary. — Although this rule requires that a jury trial must be			
19	prosecuted by an attorney, [this rule] it does not require the district attorney's office to enter an			
20	appearance in all cases in which the defendant is eligible for a jury trial. Until and unless the district			
21	attorney enters an appearance in the case, [a] the law enforcement officer or other non-attorney			
22	government employee who initiated the matter may act as a prosecutor in all respects. <u>In situations</u>			

where a district attorney's office "cannot prosecute a case for ethical reasons or other good cause,"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

see § 36-1-23.1 NMSA 1978 (1984), Paragraph E of this rule makes explicit that the district attorney may appoint a special prosecutor to prosecute the matter through a jury trial. The rule in this respect does not expand the reach of Section 36-1-23.1, but merely clarifies that the district attorney's appointing power under the statute may be exercised in appropriate circumstances to allow a prosecution to continue even if the initiating law enforcement officer or government employee is unable to prosecute it to completion. [Prior to December 31, 2008, this rule authorized private citizens to pursue criminal prosecutions in magistrate court, either on their own or through a special prosecutor. In 2013, the Court withdrew former Paragraphs D and E in recognition of the 2008 amendment, which removed the authority for such private prosecutions. Former Paragraph D was entitled "Special prosecutor" and provided that "[n]othing in this rule shall be construed to allow an attorney licensed to practice law in this state to prosecute a case for any party without first having been duly appointed as a special prosecutor by the district attorney for the judicial district in which the court is located." Former Paragraph E was entitled "District attorney" and provided that "[n]othing in this rule shall be construed to prevent the district attorney in the judicial district in which the complaint is filed from dismissing the case or entering an appearance and assuming prosecutorial control over the case." Paragraphs D and E are no longer necessary because they addressed the situation in which a private citizen could pursue a criminal complaint through a special prosecutor. The withdrawal of Paragraphs D and E does not preclude a district attorney from appointing a special prosecutor to prosecute. [Adopted by Supreme Court Order No. 13-8300-033, effective for all cases filed on or after December 31, 2013; as amended by Supreme Court Order No. 20-8300-008, effective for all cases pending or filed on or after December 31, 2020.]