## 1 **7-507.1.** Competency.

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. **Purpose**; scope. This rule is intended to provide a timely, efficient, and accurate procedure for resolving whether a defendant is competent to stand trial. Competency to stand trial 4 is distinct from other questions about a defendant's mental health that may be relevant in a criminal 5 proceeding, such as the substantive defenses of not guilty by reason of insanity at the time of commission of an offense and incapacity to form specific intent.
- 7 В. **Definitions.** For purposes of this rule, the following definitions shall apply.
  - (1) Competency. The terms competency, competence, and competent are used interchangeably throughout this rule and refer to whether the defendant has,
    - (a) sufficient present ability to consult with the defendant's lawyer with a reasonable degree of rational understanding,
  - (b) a rational as well as factual understanding of the proceedings against the defendant, and
  - the capacity to assist in the defendant's own defense and to (c) comprehend the reasons for punishment.
  - (2) **Competency evaluation.** A competency evaluation is an examination of the defendant by a qualified mental health professional, appointed by and acting on behalf of the court, limited to determining whether the defendant is competent to stand trial. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a competency evaluation shall be limited to a determination of the defendant's competency and shall not state opinions about other matters including the defendant's sanity at the time of the offense or ability to form a specific intent.
  - C. Competency to stand trial.

| 1  | (1) The issue of the defendant's competency to stand trial shall be raised                          |
|----|---|
| 2  | whenever it appears that the defendant may not be competent to stand trial. The issue may be raised |
| 3  | by motion, or upon the court's own motion, at any stage of the proceedings.                         |
| 4  | (2) The issue of the defendant's competency to stand trial shall be determined                      |
| 5  | by the judge, unless the judge finds there is evidence which raises a reasonable belief that the    |
| 6  | defendant may not be competent to stand trial. If a reasonable belief that the defendant may not be |
| 7  | competent to stand trial is raised prior to trial, the court shall order the defendant to undergo a |
| 8  | competency evaluation. The court shall hold a hearing to determine the issue of the defendant's     |
| 9  | competency to stand trial:  |
| 10 | (a) within ten (10) days after the filing of the competency evaluation if                           |
| 11 | the defendant is incarcerated; or   |
| 12 | (b) within thirty (30) days after the filing of the competency evaluation                           |
| 13 | if the defendant is not incarcerated.   |
| 14 | (3) If a defendant is found incompetent to stand trial the court may:                               |
| 15 | (a) dismiss the charges; or   |
| 16 | (b) transfer the proceedings to the district court.   |
| 17 | (4) If the finding of incompetency is made during the trial, the court shall                        |
| 18 | declare a mistrial.   |
| 19 | D. Statement made during competency evaluation. A statement made by a person                        |
| 20 | during a competency evaluation or treatment subsequent to the commission of the alleged crime       |
| 21 | shall not be admissible in evidence against such person in any criminal proceeding on any issue     |
| 22 | other than that of the person's competency to stand trial.  |

- 1 [Approved by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-023, effective for all cases filed on or after
- 2 February 1, 2019; suspended by Supreme Court Order No. S-1-RCR-2025-00143, effective for all
- 3 cases pending or filed on or after September 30, 2025.]
- 4 **Committee commentary.** The Metropolitan Court shall order a competency evaluation
- 5 when the court finds evidence which raises a reasonable belief that the defendant may not be
- 6 competent to stand trial. A reasonable belief may arise from the court's own observations or from
- 7 the factual allegations in a party's motion.
- 8 The reasonable belief standard for ordering a competency evaluation requires the court to
- 9 consider only whether the movant's subjective, good faith belief that the defendant may not be
- 10 competent to stand trial is objectively reasonable. Cf. Kestenbaum v. Pennzoil Co., 1988-NMSC-
- 11 092, ¶ 27, 108 N.M. 20, 766 P.2d 280 (discussing the difference between a "subjective good faith
- belief as opposed to an objective standard of reasonable belief"). In making this determination, the
- court should evaluate whether the movant's good faith belief is supported by specific, articulable
- 14 facts that would lead a reasonable person to believe that the defendant may not be competent to
- stand trial. Cf. State v. Martinez, 2018-NMSC-007, ¶ 10, 410 P.3d 186 ("An officer obtains"
- 16 reasonable suspicion when the officer becomes aware of specific articulable facts that, judged
- 17 objectively, would lead a reasonable person to believe criminal activity occurred or was
- 18 occurring," (internal citation and quotation marks omitted)). This is not a heavy burden, and in
- most circumstances should be capable of resolution without an evidentiary hearing.
- For a discussion of procedures related to this rule, see the committee commentary to
- 21 Rule 5-602.1 NMRA.

22

Courtroom closure

## METROPOLITAN COURT CRIMINAL RULE 7-507.1 [SUSPENDED]

## Supreme Court Approved September 30, 2025

| 1 | Hearings under this rule may be closed only upon motion and order of the                         |
|---|--|
| 2 | court. See Rule 7-115(A) NMRA ("All courtroom proceedings shall be open to the public unless     |
| 3 | the courtroom is closed by an order of the court entered under this rule."); see also Rule 7-115 |
| 1 | committee commentary ("[I]f a party believes that courtroom closure is warranted for any reason, |
| 5 | including the protection of confidential information, such party may file a motion for courtroom |
| 5 | closure under Subparagraph (B)(2) of this rule.").   |
| 7 | [Approved by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-023, effective for all cases filed on or after      |
| 3 | February 1, 2019; suspended by Supreme Court Order No. S-1-RCR-2025-00143, effective for all     |
| ) | cases pending or filed on or after September 30, 2025.]  |