9-514.	. Order on motion for a compet	tency evaluation.
[For u	se with Rule 5-602.1 NMRA]	
	TE OF NEW MEXICO NTY OF DISTRICT CO	URT
[STA]	TE OF NEW MEXICO] NTY OF] OF]	
v.		No
		, Defendant.
		ON [TRANSFER] [MOTION] MPETENCY EVALUATION
		Court upon transfer from the [magistrate] [metropolicasonable belief that the defendant may not be competer of in custody.
	OR	
		the motion for competency evaluation [and the response being otherwise fully advised in the premises, FINDS
[] defend		is GRANTED because there is a reasonable belief that a stand trial based upon the following:
[]	The facts alleged in the motion	for a competency evaluation;
[]		f the defendant, described as follows:
		; and
[]		
·		·
[]	The motion is not well-taken as	nd is DENIED.

(Complete the following only if the case has been transferred or the motion is GRANTED)			
The Court therefore ORDERS the following:			
1. A competency evaluation shall be performed by			
2. The evaluation shall be completed and a written report shall be filed with the court			
within thirty (30) days of the filing of this order.			
3. The report filed under Paragraph 2 of this order shall include the following:			
(a) a description of the procedures, tests, and techniques used by the evaluator;			
(b) a clear statement of the evaluator's clinical findings and opinions about the			
defendant's competency; and if the expert's opinion is that the defendant is not competent, then			
the expert shall further provide an opinion as to whether the defendant satisfies the criteria for involuntary, inpatient civil commitment in accordance with the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code or involuntary treatment in an Assisted Outpatient Treatment program;			
Disabilities code of involuntary treatment in an Assisted Outpatient Treatment program,			
(c) a description of the sources of information and the factual basis for the evaluator's clinical findings and opinions, provided that the report shall not include information or opinions concerning the defendant's mental condition at the time of the alleged crime or any statements			
made by the defendant regarding the alleged crime or any other crime; and			
(d) the masseming by which the evaluation willized the information to mosely the clinical			
(d) the reasoning by which the evaluator utilized the information to reach the clinical findings and opinions.			
4. Any newty who objects to the conclusion set forth in the report filed under Deregranh 2			
4. Any party who objects to the conclusion set forth in the report filed under Paragraph 2 of this order shall file that party's objections in writing within seven (7) days of the filing of the report.			
Teport.			
5. The parties shall return to court for a hearing on the question of the defendant's competency on [(date not to exceed forty-five (45) days from the date of this			
order)] (date not to exceed the timelines set forth in Rule 5-602.1(I)(I)(b) NMRA) at (time), unless the court, upon its own motion or upon the motion of the parties,			
rules at an earlier time on the defendant's competency without a hearing.			
(Optional)			
(Optional)			
6. The court has considered the defendant's conditions of release and orders the following:			
[] The defendant's conditions of release shall continue until further order of the court;			
[] A hearing to set or review the defendant's conditions of release shall be held on (date).			

46

CRIMINAL FORMS 9-514

Supreme Court Approved September 30, 2025

1	7. Other:			
2				
3				
4				
5		·		
6		IT IS SO ORDERED		
		District Court		
7 8	Attorney for the State			
9	Thiomey for the state			
\mathbf{C}				
1	Attorney for the defendant			
2				
3	[Approved by Supreme Court Order No. 18-8300-023, effective for all cases filed on or after			
4	February 1, 2019; as provisionally amended by Supreme Court Order No. S-1-RCR-2025-00143.			
5	effective for all cases pending or filed on or after	effective for all cases pending or filed on or after September 30, 2025.]		